1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
3	<del></del>
4	LISA MENNINGER,
5	Plaintiff, Civil Action No. 1:19-cv-11441-LTS
6	V.
7	PPD DEVELOPMENT, L.P.,
8	Defendant.
9	
10	
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE LEO T. SOROKIN, DISTRICT JUDGE
12	JURY TRIAL
13	Day 3
14	
15	Wednesday, March 22, 2023
16	8:30 a.m.
17	
18	
19	
20	John J. Moakley United States Courthouse
21	Courtroom No. 13 One Courthouse Way
22	Boston, Massachusetts
23	Rachel M. Lopez, CRR
24	Official Court Reporter raeufp@gmail.com
25	racarpegnarr.com

APPEARANCES 1 2 On behalf of the Plaintiff: 3 HARTLEY MICHON ROBB HANNON, LLP BY: PATRICK J. HANNON AND HAMPTON M. WATSON 4 155 Seaport Boulevard 2nd Floor 5 Boston, Massachusetts 02210 (617) 723-8000 6 phannon@hmrhlaw.com hwatson@hmrhlaw.com 7 8 On behalf of the Defendant: 9 OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C. 10 BY: RACHEL REINGOLD MANDEL AND PATRICK M. CURRAN, JR. One Boston Place 11 Suite 3500 Boston, Massachusetts 02108 12 (617) 994-5700 rachel.mandel@ogletreedeakins.com 13 patrick.curran@ogletreedeakins.com 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2		
3	TRIAL WITNESSES	
4		
5	On behalf of the Government: Page	
6	LISA A. MENNINGER	
7	By Mr. Hannon 13	
8	By Ms. Mandel 31	
9		
LO		
L1	EXHIBIT	
L2		
L3	None	
L 4		
L5		
L 6		
L7		
L8		
L 9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	1	

## PROCEEDINGS 1 (In open court.) 2 THE DEPUTY CLERK: The United States District Court 3 for the District of Massachusetts is now in session, the 4 Honorable Leo T. Sorokin presiding. 5 THE COURT: Please be seated. 6 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Today is Wednesday, March 22, 7 2023, and we're on the record in civil case number 19-11441, 8 Lisa Menninger versus PPD development, LP. 9 And would counsel please identify themselves for 10 the record. 11 MR. HANNON: Good morning. Patrick Hannon and 12 13 Hampton Watson for the plaintiff. 14 MS. MANDEL: Good morning, Your Honor, Rachel Mandel and Patrick Curran for defendant, PPD. 15 THE COURT: Good morning. Okay. Anything to 16 discuss? 17 18 MR. HANNON: Possibly. I meant to ask opposing counsel a question this morning, but I'll just raise it now, 19 and I apologize for not having raised it separately 20 beforehand. 21 During the deposition of Dr. Menninger, an issue 22 23 came out concerning a prior arrest for driving under the influence, which I don't think has any relevance and is not 24

in any way possibly admissible, but I just figured we should

```
confirm that's not going to be raised during her
1
     cross-examination.
 2
 3
               MS. MANDEL: Unless something were to come up
 4
     during her direct, which would somehow...
 5
               THE COURT: So far has anything come up?
               MS. MANDEL: Not so far, Your Honor.
 6
               THE COURT: Okay.
 7
               MR. HANNON: Not an issue then.
 8
               THE COURT: Okay. Fine. If you decide that you
 9
     think that it has -- you think it should come up, then raise
10
11
     it at sidebar before you bring it up, and then we can talk
     about it.
12
13
               MS. MANDEL: Understood, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Sounds like it's a nonissue.
14
               MR. HANNON: Again, apologies for not clearing that
15
     ahead of time.
16
               THE COURT: Anything else for you?
17
               MR. HANNON: No, Your Honor.
18
19
               THE COURT: Anything for you?
               MS. MANDEL: We just wanted to talk about
20
     scheduling a little bit. I know we had talked about whether
21
     Monday the 27th would be a day that would make sense to go
22
23
     the full day. And I just -- I know that Mr. Hannon has let
     us know that one of his witnesses is going to be testifying
24
     on Monday that we didn't originally think would be. That's
25
```

```
Dr. Kissimian. We, ourselves, have between Monday and
1
     Tuesday, that's when four of our out-of-town witnesses are
 2
     going to be here, so I just thought it would be a good time
     to sort of discuss the planning of that.
 4
               THE COURT: So you have how much longer? Two
 5
     minutes with your client?
 6
 7
               MR. HANNON: 23 minutes, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: 23.
 8
               MR. HANNON: 23.
 9
                                 I'm going to count.
10
               THE COURT: Wow.
11
               MR. HANNON: I know.
                                     Start the clock.
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then you'll be
12
     done at 9:23. And then you're going to cross her. And then
13
     I take that -- that will be more than 23 minutes, I'm
14
     anticipating.
15
               MS. MANDEL: It is more than 23 minutes,
16
     Your Honor. I can't say to the minute exactly how long.
17
               THE COURT: All right. No problem. So after -- do
18
19
     you expect we'll be done with her today?
               MS. MANDEL: I think there's a good possibility.
20
     It's hard to say for sure, but it's a good possibility.
21
               THE COURT: Okay. Okay. So if we're done with her
22
     today, then either way, who's next?
23
               MR. HANNON: Ideally, we'll be reading in
24
     Mr. Mekerri's transcript. I say ideally. We're still trying
25
```

```
to work out some logistics in terms of getting our respective
1
 2
     support staff to get a sort of comprehensive transcript that
 3
     you can just read. So assuming that they're able to tackle
     that before we're done with Dr. Menninger, we'll do that. If
 4
     we're not able to get that done, then I'd proceed with
 5
     Ms. Ballweg.
 6
               THE COURT: Okay. And how long will that be?
 7
               MR. HANNON: Ms. Ballweg?
 8
               THE COURT:
                           For you?
 9
               MR. HANNON: I would expect between an hour and two
10
     hours.
11
               THE COURT: Okay. So -- and then if you do
12
     Mekerri, then tomorrow, whenever that's done, you would then
13
14
     go to Ballweg?
15
               MR. HANNON: Correct. And I expect the read on for
     Mekerri is, likewise, between and hour and two hours.
16
               THE COURT: So then after Ms. Ballweg, who comes
17
     next?
18
19
               MR. HANNON: After Ms. Ballweg, it will depend a
     bit on --
20
               THE COURT: So Ms. Ballweg -- between Mekerri and
21
     Ms. Ballweg, that takes all of tomorrow, if we -- if we
22
23
     finish the plaintiff today. If we finish the plaintiff at
     1 o'clock, it sounds like that's probably all of tomorrow,
24
     those two witnesses.
25
```

Does that sound right? 1 MS. MANDEL: Sounds about right, Your Honor. 2 3 THE COURT: Okay. Then who comes next? MR. HANNON: So we've got three witnesses to fill 4 these last two days. So one is going to be Dr. Summergrad, 5 our psychiatrist expert. Another is going to be Mr. Jonas, our economics expert, and then the last is going to be 7 Tonya Hart, the -- Dr. Menninger's sister. The sister is 8 going to be short, between, you know, 30 minutes and an hour, 9 probably closer to 30 minutes. Mr. Jonas --10 11 THE COURT: She's a damage witness, primarily? MR. HANNON: Correct. Mr. Jonas should be brief, 12 as well. Again, between 30 and an hour. Dr. Summergrad I 13 14 expect will be a little bit longer, between like an hour and two hours. 15 THE COURT: So we won't -- even if we finish the 16 plaintiff today, we won't finish all of them on Friday? 17 18 MR. HANNON: I think there's a very good chance 19 that Dr. Summergrad will have to carry over to next week. THE COURT: And then the only other witness you 20 have is the treating physician? 21 MR. HANNON: The only other ones that I've got 22 control of. Again, the folks they're producing are on our 23 list as well. 24 25 THE COURT: Okay. And how long is the treating

```
physician?
1
               MR. HANNON: Between like an hour and two hours,
 2
 3
     probably closer to an hour.
 4
               THE COURT: And then who else will you be calling?
 5
               MS. MANDEL: Your Honor, we'll be calling Chris
     Clendening, who's coming from Ohio, and Chris Fikry, who's
 6
 7
     also coming out of town, and Chad St. John and Brent
     McKinnon, all who are coming from out of town. And then we
 8
     have our economic expert and our psychiatric expert.
 9
               THE COURT: How long for each of the four of them
10
11
     on the direct?
               MS. MANDEL: I would say for Chris Clendening and
12
     Chris Fikry, our questioning is probably about an hour each.
13
14
     A little hard to say, but about an hour each. Chad St. John,
     somewhere between one and two hours. And Brent McKinnon,
15
     somewhere between 30 minutes and an hour.
16
               THE COURT: Okay. And you're equivalent with them?
17
               MR. HANNON: Less on each of those.
18
19
               THE COURT: Okay. So that's a day and a half. A
     day for the direct, half a day. Something like that.
20
               And then you have two experts?
21
               MS. MANDEL: We have two experts, Your Honor.
22
               THE COURT: So we just -- it sounds like we just
23
     finish in time.
24
25
               MS. MANDEL: Your Honor, just one more thing.
```

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There's another deposition transcript, as well, which is for Dr. Menninger's husband. It's certainly much shorter. The entire transcript is much shorter than Mr. Mekerri's, so by definition, it can't take as long, but we haven't slotted that in, either. MR. HANNON: I forget to mention that, as well, but it will be brief. So in terms of circling back to the original question, which was what -- afternoon, that's really what you're raising, I think. MS. MANDEL: That's right. And I know that we --THE COURT: You talked about -- putting aside what I told the jury, what do you think makes the most sense? MS. MANDEL: Well, I -- you know, being conservative about the timing and given that things this week so far have taken a little longer than initially anticipated, my inclination would be to say that Monday going the full day would make sense so that we can all keep our commitment to the jury. That also would -- everyone else is coming in from out of town -- not everyone, but all the people next week are coming in from out of town, so it also seems most respectful to their schedules to us to sort of --THE COURT: I don't have a problem going Monday all I have told the jury that they should anticipate that.

MS. MANDEL: The other thing, Your Honor, is that

it is a long day, right, to sit and listen to testimony until 4 o'clock, and so we can sort of — we may end a bit before 4 o'clock on Monday, but just to have the afternoon, I think, would be useful.

THE COURT: Right. Okay. I think that, given what you're telling me about the schedule and where we are, I think that makes sense. I think probably what I'll do is tell them at the end of today that -- well, I'll check with you at the break, but I think what I'm planning to say to them, unless you tell me to do it this way -- unless you tell me to do something different at the break, what I'm going to tell them at the end of the day is tomorrow -- we are on track. We promised to get you the case by next Friday. We're on track for that, we so far anticipate, you know, it's going along, and we think that's correct. Tomorrow will be 9:00 to 1:00, like I told you, Friday will be 9:00 to 1:00, like I told you, we're going to sit in the afternoon. We have some --

Some of the out-of-town witnesses will be testifying Monday?

MS. MANDEL: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. So I'll say some of them -we have some out-of-town witnesses. It makes it easier, so
we go 9:00 to 1:00, and 2:00 to 4:00 on Monday. We should
expect that. And then every other day 9:00 to 1:00 until you

```
get the case, and just give them an update like that, I'm
1
     sure they'll like that. Okay. That's fine.
 2
 3
               Anything else?
               MR. HANNON: Nothing here.
 4
               MS. MANDEL: Nothing here. Thank you.
 5
               THE COURT: Okay. Then I'll come back out at a
 6
 7
     couple minutes before 9:00. See you then.
                (Court in recess at 8:41 a.m.
 8
 9
               and reconvened at 9:01 a.m.)
               THE COURT: All right. Go get the jury.
10
11
               MR. HANNON: Can I have Dr. Menninger get on the
     stand?
12
13
               THE COURT:
                           Yes. Go right ahead.
14
                (The jury enters the courtroom.)
               THE COURT: All right. Good morning, ladies and
15
     gentlemen. I trust everyone followed my instruction, don't
16
     discuss the case among yourselves, don't does it with anyone
17
18
     else, and no independent research. Good.
19
               All right. So we resume. Dr. Menninger's on the
     witness stand, so we'll resume with her direct examination by
20
     Mr. Hannon.
21
               And I remind you, you remain under oath.
22
23
               Go ahead.
               MR. HANNON: Thank you, Your Honor.
24
25
                            LISA A. MENNINGER
```

having been previously duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF, Cont.

3 BY MR. HANNON:

- Q. Dr. Menninger, while you were employed at PPD, how were you compensated?
- A. I had an annual salary and annual bonus, and I had stock options, stock. And sometimes we would have additional spot bonuses, depending on how the company was doing.
- 9 **Q.** And did you have other benefits, like health insurance and things like that?
- 11 A. Yes. For my entire family.
- Q. Okay. And what was for your entire family? The health insurance?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- MR. HANNON: I'd like to direct your attention to
  Joint Exhibit Number 14. And I'd like to show this to the
  jury.
- 18 BY MR. HANNON:
- 19 Q. And Dr. Menninger, can you tell the jury what this is?
- 20 A. This is the compensation statement for 2018.
- Q. Okay. And looking at the top right-hand corner here,
- does this show what your base salary was going to be,
- effective April 1, 2018?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And to the best of your recollection, is that number

- there, the \$270,581.78, is that accurate?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. And this shows that you're -- am I right that this shows that your salary the prior year had been lower?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And was it -- was it typical during your employment at PPD to get annual raises?
- 8 **A.** Yes.
- 9 Q. And then I think you mentioned a moment ago that part of your compensation included an annual bonus; is that right?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. Did you receive a bonus every year that you worked
- 13 at PPD?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And does this document accurately reflect the bonus that you received for your work in 2017?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And then you also mentioned spot bonuses. Under what circumstances during your employment at PPD did you earn spot
- 20 bonuses?
- A. There were, I believe, occasional times in 2016 where the company gave certain executives additional bonuses, I think based on how the company was performing.
- I was also given an additional salary increase at the end of 2015, after I started.

- 1 Q. Okay. I'm now going to direct your attention to Joint
- 2 Exhibit 33. And does this document reflect the stock options
- 3 that you held at the time of your termination?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. And were you able to keep those options after you
- 6 were terminated?
- 7 A. No, I was not.
- 8 Q. Okay. Now, the document here -- look in the far right
- 9 here. It shows a cost to exercise. Was it your
- 10 understanding that's what it would have cost you at the time
- of your separation in order to exercise your stock options?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 Q. And did you?
- 14 A. No. I -- we couldn't afford to.
- 15 Q. Okay. During the course of your employment, were any
- representations made to you by PPD concerning the -- the
- 17 value of your stock options?
- 18 A. Yes. It was recorded.
- 19 Q. And did they -- did they make any representations to you
- in terms of under what circumstances those options would
- 21 become valuable?
- 22 A. Yes. If the company underwent a restructuring, or if
- 23 they went from private to public, then those stock options
- would be available to be cashed out for us, which happened
- 25 under one circumstance while I was working there.

- Q. So there had been a prior restructuring at some point during your term at PPD; is that right?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. Okay. And -- but with respect to the options that you
- 5 held at the time of your termination, do you know if,
- subsequent to your termination, PPD went public?
- 7 A. Yes, they did.
- Q. Okay. And have you seen any press releases issued by PPD
- 9 concerning any acquisition of the company?
- 10 A. Yes, I have.
- 11 Q. Okay. And based upon those -- that press release, how
- 12 was PPD acquired?
- 13 A. They were acquired by Thermo Fisher Scientific.
- 14 **Q.** When?
- 15 A. I believe it was the end of 2020.
- 16 Q. Okay. And did that press release indicate how much PPD
- was acquired for?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 **Q.** How much?
- 20 **A.** For 17.4 billion.
- 21 Q. I'm now going to show you Joint Exhibit 59. So is this
- your performance review for 2017?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, you recall testifying previously that you had
- 25 expected to be talking about your performance review at the

- end of 2017, in that 360 meeting; is that right?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 Q. Okay. Were you actually provided your performance review
- 4 at that time?
- 5 **A.** No.
- 6 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Mekerri ever actually provide you your
- 7 performance review?
- 8 **A.** Not for 2017.
- 9 Q. At some point in time, did you see this performance
- 10 review?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. And when did you see it?
- 13 A. I saw it late January 2018, once Chad told me that it was
- available in the system for me to view.
- Q. And was that after you had disclosed your disability to
- 16 PPD?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. I'm going to direct your attention to the -- just to the
- second to the last page of the exhibit. And do you see here,
- 20 under, "Overall performance," it reflects an overall rating
- of "fully effective"?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 Q. Okay. And is it your understand that was your rating for
- the 2017 calendar year?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- Q. Now, there was some areas in which Mr. Mekerri had rated you lower than fully effective; is that right?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- Q. So I'm showing you here the second page of the document, and if you could see, there's a "Goal" here. Could you tell the jury what that refers to?
- 7 A. Yes. That was my goal to recruit and hire global
  8 scientific technical directors at the Ph.D. or MD level. And
  9 this was to address compliance gaps that we had in certain
  10 areas.
- Q. And looking here, to the right side here, this was your rating for the year, for that particular goal; is that right?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And the comment that you wrote there, is that -- is that all accurate?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. And you mentioned here -- and I'll highlight it -that there had been a time where one of the positions that
  you were supposed to recruit for had been put on hold; is
- 20 that right?

Yes.

Α.

- Q. Okay. And who had put it on hold?
- A. I'm not sure. Someone higher than my level.
- 24 **Q.** Okay.
- 25 **A.** I was never told specifically who.

- Q. Had Mr. Mekerri informed you that that role had been put on hold?
  - **A.** No. I believe it was one of the recruiters.
- Q. Was Mr. Mekerri aware, to your knowledge, that that position had been put on hold?
- 6 A. Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Was that something that you and he had spoken about?
- A. Yes. I believe it came up during -- yes. I asked him

  about it, what the status was. We, at that time, had an

  excellent candidate, who I thought would be -- who we all

  thought would be perfect for the role. And so I continuously
  - Q. One of the things you also cite here in your note, you see there's a highlighted section, you note that "Feedback from the recruitment team is that we are not competitive for qualified candidates, based on salary and location."

Do you see that?

asked about the status of that candidate.

- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. And what do you mean by that?
- 20 A. There were other locations around the country that MD,
- 21 Ph.D. level scientists preferred to work. And also, in those
- 22 locations, they paid higher salary.
- 23 Q. Directing your attention to the third page of the
- document. You see here the goal at the top of the page, the
- category being, "Enhanced commercial and operational

- 1 excellence." Do you see that?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 Q. Okay. And if I can direct your attention to the note
- 4 there from Mr. Mekerri. Do you see that he
- writes, "Validations complete. Work with"?
- 6 **A.** Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. What was your reaction when you saw that?
- 8 A. He had not performed my performance review. It looked
- 9 like he stopped mid sentence.
- 10 Q. Okay. And if you look here at the goal below, do you see
- 11 he has -- he has no comments there?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 Q. And if we turn to the next page, the goal there, again,
- 14 he has no comments?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And then turning to the next page, do you see he has a --
- he has an area in which he rated you, "Sometimes effective."
- Do you see that?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 **Q.** And he provided no comments?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. Did you ever ask Mr. Mekerri to give you the feedback
- concerning his ratings for you in 2017?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 **Q.** And what did he say?

- 1 A. He said he would schedule a meeting for us to discuss.
- 2 Q. And did he?
- 3 A. He did schedule a meeting.
- 4 Q. And did you discuss?
- 5 A. No. He canceled it and said -- well, he said that he
- 6 would rather reschedule it for when I was on site, face to
- 7 face.
- 8 Q. And when did you expect that would be?
- 9 A. When I was on site, the same time I had the meeting --
- let's see, when I was on site February 27th, I think, through
- 11 March 2nd.
- 12 Q. And did the conversation happen while you were on site?
- 13 **A.** No.
- 14 Q. Prior to relocating to Massachusetts, you worked in the
- same complex as the Highland Heights lab; is that right?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. Was your work space physically located where the actual
- 18 testing was done?
- 19 **A.** No.
- 20 Q. Okay. And are you familiar with an area of the lab known
- as "The Pit"?
- 22 **A.** Yes. A little bit. That was a term that was before my
- 23 time.
- 24 **Q.** Okay.
- 25 A. We did not refer to it as "The Pit" after I started.

- Q. Okay. And what was your understanding as to what "The Pit" referred to?
  - A. The downstairs area, a certain -- yeah.
  - We were doing a lot of remodeling at the time and so names were changing depending on the lab area.
  - Q. Okay. But in terms of the area where the actual testing was done, did you on occasion visit that area?
- 8 A. Yes.

5

7

22

- 9 **Q.** Every day?
- 10 **A.** No.
- 11 **Q.** Why not?
- A. There -- there was no way I would have time. I -- I had
  many other responsibilities that I had to complete, and a lot
  of technical documents to review, e-mails to respond to,
  phone calls, meetings, things like that.
- Q. Do you have any estimate of how often you would visit the actual area where the testing was conducted when you worked in Highland Heights?
- A. I would try to go visit, you know, make face-to-face contact with the lab staff at the bench on a weekly basis, but that was not always possible depending on my schedule.
  - Q. And when you visited that area, were you doing so in order to supervise the work that was being done?
- A. No, that would be the supervisor's responsibility.
- Q. Okay. When you relocated to Massachusetts, was there any

- kind of discussion concerning an expected frequency of how often you would travel back to Highland Heights?
- 3 **A.** No.
- Q. Was it your understanding that that was left to your discretion?
- A. Yes. There was a -- a conversation about dividing my time more equally amongst the four labs that I oversaw.
- 8 Q. Was that a conversation you had with Mr. Mekerri?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Up until the time that you -- you left PPD -- well, strike that.
- 12 Up until the time that you took your medical leave 13 from PPD, had anyone at PPD ever suggested to you that your 14 remote working status was a problem?
- 15 **A.** No.
- Q. Had anyone ever suggested to you that your remote status was causing issues with respect to your performance?
- 18 **A.** No.
- Q. Had anyone suggested to you that your remote status was causing any issues with respect to the lab?
- 21 **A.** No.

- Q. I'm going to show you Joint Exhibit Number 180.
- Actually, I'm not going to show you Exhibit 180. I lied. Apologies.
- Do you recall your testimony previously about the

- proposed accommodations from Dr. Kissimian with respect to
- those buckets Mr. Mekerri had identified?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And you reviewed the accommodations proposed by
- 5 Dr. Kissimian?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. From your perspective and your experience performing your
- 8 role and working at PPD, did you believe that those
- 9 accommodations were reasonable?
- 10 A. Yes, for the changes that Hacene was proposing broadly to
- 11 my role.
- 12 Q. And based upon your observations and your experience,
- were those all things that PPD could have done to help you do
- 14 your job?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Are you aware of any reason why PPD could not have
- provided those accommodations to you?
- 18 **A.** No.
- 19 Q. Last -- last section here. You talked yesterday about
- 20 the -- what you did after you took your medical leave from
- 21 PPD, and I just wanted to try to fill in a couple of blanks
- 22 for the jury, if we could.
- So after you took your medical leave, you did
- 24 the -- the partial hospitalization program at Butler
- 25 Hospital; is that right?

A. Yes.

- 2 Q. Do you recall when you completed that?
- A. It was some time in July 2018.
- Q. And after you completed that, can you describe for the jury what your health status was like then?
- A. I was -- I pretty much went back to my baseline of how I was doing before I entered, because I was not doing well.
- Q. Had the program at Butler Hospital helped for at least some period of time?
- A. Eventually. At first I was pretty scared to go, because of the group therapy nature of some of it. But, yes, it felt like a safe space that I could escape, you know, the pain of what was going on in my life with PPD.
- Q. And after leaving the program at Butler Hospital, did you continue your treatment with Dr. Kissimian?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. And at some point in time did you move?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. Why did you move?
- A. I had my office in -- I had an office in our basement of our home, and it started to trigger severe panic, so I just flat out refused to go back down in the basement. My husband brought my computer up to the bedroom. Because of the association I was making, it was very difficult for me.
- Also, we can no longer afford to keep our child in

- 1 private school, and we were living in a more rural area of
- 2 Massachusetts, and we were concerned -- considering the
- 3 history with Maya and the challenges that they had in school
- 4 about sending -- sending them to public school.
- 5 Q. Okay. Where did you move to?
- A. We moved to Albuquerque, New Mexico.
- 7 Q. And was there anything about Albuquerque in particular
- 8 that was -- you thought might be beneficial?
- 9 A. Yeah. I -- well, the cost of living was much cheaper and
- we were really stressed about finances. Also, I had spent a
- year there as a grad student, and there's a lot of open
- space. There were a lot of places where I felt like I could
- escape to on my own and be just surrounded by nature. I
- could go on a trail and just escape from the trauma of what
- was going on. That's all I recall.
- 16 Q. That's fine.
- And while you were in New Mexico, did you continue
- 18 your medical treatment?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 Q. And did you get a new doctor out there?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 Q. And who was that?
- 23 A. Dr. Burbano.
- Q. And with Dr. Burbano, did you continue therapy sessions?
- 25 A. Yes, I continued appointments.

- 1 Q. Okay. And you continued medications?
- 2 **A.** Yes.

medications?

- Q. Particularly when you were treating with Dr. Burbano, was there some sort of frequent efforts to sort of adjust your
- A. Yes. She was concerned about the tolerance I could build up with Prozac, and so added an additional medication in the same class to try to prevent that from happening.
- 9 **Q.** And the work you did with Dr. Burbano, in terms of the medication and the sessions and being out in more of a natural environment, did all of that solve your health issues?
- A. No. I had good days and I had bad days. But, you know, so sometimes I would be out on a trail by myself and, you know, it just felt like an escape. But then I'd come home and I'd have days where I couldn't get out of bed. So it depended.
- Q. And what, if any, side effects did you suffer from the medications you were receiving?
- A. When we added the additional medication to Prozac, I
  believe it was a -- a newer medication. I think it was
  called VIIBRYD, I ended up getting what's called serotonin
  syndrome, which can be life threatening, and that was pretty
  scary.
- 25 Q. Besides that situation, have you also incurred other side

- effects from the various medications you've been on?
- A. Yes. Depending on the medication.
  - Q. Okay. And what kinds of side effects have you had?
- A. If I was on a benzodiazepine or a sedative, I could be
- off balance, so I have balance issues. Depending on the dose
- 6 that you're taking, you shouldn't drive or operate heavy
- 7 machinery or anything. It made me feel extremely tired.
- 8 | Sometimes I had to take -- lay down and take naps in the
- 9 middle of the day. Some of the medications caused insomnia,
- so I had trouble falling asleep and sometimes that would take
- 11 hours. Then when I would fall asleep, I'd frequently have
- 12 nightmares, wake up in the middle of the night, and have
- trouble falling asleep again. So it was just extremely
- 14 difficult to have a regular sleeping schedule.
- 15 Q. And do any of those symptoms that you've described, do
- they persist to this day?
- 17 **A.** Yes.

- 18 Q. Which ones?
- 19 A. Definitely the sleeping disturbance and the nightmares.
- 20 | Feeling off balance. I'm still -- with the clonazepam, when
- I take it during the daytime, I take it twice a day, I, you
- 22 know, sometimes will have to like stop myself and try to
- 23 catch my balance. It makes me tired, hard to concentrate.
- It's also difficult with benzodiazepines, because that's
- another medication you can build up a tolerance to. So it's

- effective at a certain dose for a while, but then eventually
  you have to start increasing the dose to have the same
  effect. And you can only do that so much and then it stops
  working or becomes dangerous. So there's careful management,
  experimentation with the doctors on that.
- Q. Do you still live in New Mexico?
- A. No. I currently live in Oregon.
- 8 Q. And when did you move to Oregon?
  - A. I moved to Oregon in the spring of 2020.
- 10 **Q.** Why?

- 11 A. My mom and my sister and brother-in-law all had moved to
- Bend, and at that time, I felt like I needed closer family
- 13 support. And the pandemic was starting and we just felt like
- it would be better to be closer to family and it would be
- helpful for us to have that support and not be so isolated.
- Q. And are you still receiving medical treatment in Oregon?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 **Q.** By who?
- 19 A. I'm forgetting last names. I see a therapist weekly,
- 20 Andrew, and I can't pronounce his last name. And then I also
- see a nurse practitioner remotely from Texas, who specializes
- in mental health. And she does my medication management.
- Q. You mentioned that, in connection with your medical leave
- from PPD, that you had had some suicidal ideations; is that
- 25 right?

- 1 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Have those recurred at all since your departure from PPD?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- 4 Q. Any sense of how frequent?
- 5 **A.** A lot.
- 6 Q. When was the last one? Go ahead.
- 7 A. It happened a couple days before I came here.
- 8 Q. And what were you thinking about?
- 9 A. I just didn't think I could do this. I wanted to kill
- myself.
- Q. And did you actually think about anything you would do in
- connection with taking your own life?
- A. I -- yeah. I've always, in the back of my mind, had a
- 14 plan.
- 15 Q. And what did that plan involve?
- 16 A. It involved overdosing on medication.
- Q. Have you thought at all about what your suicide note
- would say?
- 19 A. I had a night recently, I think in February, where I was
- struggling to go to sleep, and my brain just decided, okay,
- 21 let's -- what would a draft suicide note look like to my
- 22 child. And that was very difficult, because I didn't want to
- 23 hurt -- I didn't want to hurt Maya, but I couldn't stop my
- brain from, you know, playing that out.
- MR. HANNON: That's all I have, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Cross-examination, Ms. Mandel.

So the way it works, ladies and gentlemen,
Mr. Hannon called the witness, he examines. And then there
will be cross-examination by the other side. And then
there's an optional second round, so then Mr. Hannon will get
the chance, if he wishes -- it's not required, but he can,
and it usually often happens, and the lawyer asks a second
set of questions, but it's not -- it's limited to what was
discussed on cross-examination. So it gets narrower. And
then Ms. Mandel will have a chance for recross. And that,
too, is limited. So if Mr. Hannon asked no questions -- had
no redirect, then there would be no recross. And if he had
one question, then Ms. Mandel can ask follow-up, but only on
the topics that he asked about, that were implicated by that
one question. So it gets narrowed.

Go ahead.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT

19 BY MS. MANDEL:

- Q. Good morning, Dr. Menninger.
- A. Good morning.
  - Q. As you might recall, I'm Rachel Mandel. I'm going to ask you some questions.
- You may recall that you testified on Monday that
  before you worked at PPD, you were a laboratory director at a

- company called Clinical Reference Laboratory; is that right?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And let's pull up your resume. Let's look at Exhibit 54.
- 4 This is the resume that you submitted to PPD when you applied
- for employment there; is that right, Dr. Menninger?
- 6 A. Yes. This -- that's correct.
- 7 Q. And the most recent professional experience that you had
- 8 listed was the job that you held at Clinical Reference Lab;
- 9 is that right?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. And you began working there in 2010, right?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Right? And then you moved over to PPD in 2015?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- Q. So fair to say it was five years at Clinical Reference
- 16 Lab?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. And that company was in Kansas; is that right?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 | Q. And then looking down a little bit farther on your
- resume, it looks like before that you had been working as a
- 22 clinical pathologist at a hospital system in Kansas?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- Q. In your hospital position at St. Luke's Health System,
- 25 that's the one from 2006 to 2010, you were on the medical

- 1 staff of seven hospitals; is that right?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 Q. And that was all part of one hospital system, but within
- 4 that St. Luke's system; is that right?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- Q. So did you -- did you go to all seven hospitals on a regular basis?
- A. No.
- 9 **Q.** Where did you do your work for that hospital system position?
- 11 A. We had -- we had four pathologists, so we divided the
- different hospitals up. And so there was the core main
- 13 hospital, Saint Luke's Hospital, in Kansas City, Missouri.
- And then we kind of divided them up based on, like, where we
- lived. So I covered Saint Luke's South. I also covered
- Wright Memorial Hospital, which was more rural. And then
- Saint Luke's Cancer Institute, which was within Saint Luke's
- 18 Hospital.
- Q. Can you explain for us what type of work did you do at
- 20 Saint Luke's?
- 21 A. At the main hospital, I primarily covered the hematology
- section. And then we would back each other up. So if
- somebody was on vacation, we might cover the blood bank or
- 24 chemistry, things like that.
- I'm sorry, I just blanked and lost -- can you

repeat the rest of your --

1

2

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. And I know, this was a long time ago. For those of us who aren't pathologists, can you explain what you did day-to-day working in the hospital system?
- A. Yes. So okay, day-to-day, that was primarily what I was doing in Saint Luke's Hospital. Then I had one day that I went to Saint Luke's South that I was the medical laboratory director of, and I would visit with the director and supervisors there, go over any technical documents that we needed to have reviewed and signed off by the medical director, any SOPs, things like that. So I would do that once a week.
  - And then I went to Wright Memorial Hospital, which was more rural, once a month. And similar activities to what I just described.
- Q. Did any of this work involve meeting with patients?
- 17 **A.** No.
- Q. Did you actually review and report on the pathology results that came back into the labs?
- 20 **A.** Yes. In --
- 21 Q. And did you -- I'm sorry, go ahead.
- 22 A. It depended on the test.
- Q. Sure. Who did you report those results to?
- A. To other doctors in the health system.
- Q. And it's safe to say that those doctors would report the

- results to the patients?
- 2 **A.** Um --
- Q. As far as you know?
- 4 A. Yes. Or they would chart them in their notes, you
- 5 know -- I didn't observe that, so I'm not sure exactly, but
- 6 usually they get charted in the notes and, yeah, those
- 7 doctors will act on the results as part of their treatment.
- 8 Q. Understood. And at some point, you left the hospital
- 9 system and you went to -- I think you called it CRL for
- 10 short?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. And that was sort of a change in direction as a doctor,
- to go into industry; is that right?
- 14 A. Yes. We had been providing some consulting work to them
- prior and so we -- we already had a little bit of a
- 16 connection with them.
- 17 Q. With CRL?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. Was that -- going from a hospital system into the CRL
- job, was that a more dependable schedule for you?
- 21 **A.** It was. I had just had a baby, and in the hospital
- 22 environment, I was on call 24 hours a day, seven days a week.
- 23 So that was challenging, having a baby.
- I was told that CRL was looking for a permanent lab
- director, and I thought that would be a better fit for my

- 1 family.
- 2 Q. And that position was also in Kansas; is that right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. So you didn't have to relocate for that job?
- 5 **A.** No.
- Q. And I believe you testified earlier this week that you reported straight to the CEO of CRL?
- 8 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you remember like approximately how big a company CRL
- was at that time?
- 11 A. Maybe -- I used to know this. I want to -- I want to say
- maybe like 10,000. We had a -- we had a laboratory in the
- 13 UK, as well. I can't remember specifically.
- Q. And you were the only medical director there; is that
- right? I'm sorry, I used the wrong term. Laboratory
- 16 director.
- 17 **A.** We hired somewhere else to cover the laboratory in the
- 18 UK.
- Q. So you were the only laboratory director in the United
- 20 States, then?
- 21 A. We also had a Ph.D. director who was getting close to
- retirement, but he was still working on staff and had
- transferred a lot of his responsibilities to me as he was
- 24 kind of like winding down his career.
- Q. And looking at the responsibilities that you had at CRL,

- 1 the first one listed here, that first bullet point says you
- 2 provided directorship for the general and clinical trials
- 3 laboratories; is that right?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. So were those multiple laboratories that you were
- 6 directing?
- 7 A. Yes. Those were two different laboratories at that time.
- Q. And the second bullet is, "Ensures an effective quality
- 9 management program." So does that mean that you were
- 10 overseeing quality?
- 11 A. I was not over seeing the quality assurance department,
- but I was over seeing lab quality and ensuring that we were
- meeting our regulatory standards.
- 14 Q. So a sort of bigger picture overseeing?
- 15 **A.** Yeah.
- MS. MANDEL: Thanks, Miranda.
- 17 BY MS. MANDEL:
- 18 Q. And then the next bullet point down says, "Interacts with
- international, national, and state regulatory agencies for
- 20 laboratory related matters"?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 Q. And you were the main contact for those agencies because
- you were the regulatory head, right?
- A. No. Those were usually coordinated by the quality
- assurance department, but I held the licensure because it

- needed to be held by a medical director or a Ph.D. -- a qualified Ph.D. director.
- Q. And you -- the next bullet down, you provide a consultation with clients regarding the ordering of appropriate tests?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And that was in drafting with CRL's clients, right?
- 8 A. I'm sorry, can you repeat that?
- 9 Q. That was interacting with CRL's clients?
- 10 A. Usually not directly with the clients. Usually it was
- with other employees who worked in CRL. If it was the
- clinical trials laboratory, it was usually the project
- managers that I was interacting with. And if it was the
- general laboratory, they did a lot of life insurance testing,
- so I was working -- I was getting questions from, basically,
- the sales team from the life insurance portion of the
- 17 company.
- 18 Q. Understood. Let's jump down to the last bullet point,
- under the CRL entry on your resume. It says, "Responsible
- for oversight of laboratory data communication and
- 21 appropriate patient result reporting."
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And who were you doing those communications and reporting
- 24 with?
- 25 **A.** That refers to making sure that the results that are

- coming off the instrument are appropriate -- appropriately 1 reported to our laboratory computer system and then 2 appropriately transferred to the clients.
- 4 To make sure the clients get the right information at the end of the day? 5
- Yes. And of course, that was a multidisciplinary group 7 of people who made sure that all happened correctly, including the IT department. And we had people who oversaw data management, things like that.
  - Q. Understood. Let's jump to -- let's jump to Exhibit 20. Dr. Menninger, do you recall at the time that you were living in Kansas, you had a doctor named Michael
- 13 Everson?

9

10

11

- 14 Α. Yes.
- And I apologize with everybody that we're going to have 15 to contend with Dr. Everson's handwriting. And I'm going to 16 rely on you, Dr. Menninger, because you may be able to 17 18 interpret it a little better than the rest of us.
- 19 Let's actually look at --
- MS. MANDEL: We're going to look at, Miranda, 20 21 page 1139.
- BY MS. MANDEL: 22
- 23 Dr. Everson is a psychiatrist in Kansas; is that right? Ο.
- Α. Yes. 24
- And you treated with him for some time while you were 25 Q.

- 1 living in Kansas, right?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Let's look --
- MS. MANDEL: Miranda, let's go back -- yup. There
- 5 we go.
- 6 BY MS. MANDEL:
- Q. This looks like a note from Dr. Everson, from the dates
- 8 7/30, July 30th, 2015. Do you see that, Dr. Menninger?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. And I know you testified earlier this week that you had
- some ongoing prescriptions for Valium that you kind of kept
- with you over time. Is it safe to say that Dr. Everson is
- the person who was prescribing it for you?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And it indicates here, under encounter details, it says
- 16 "Valium, five milligrams." That's what you were talking
- about, right?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. And looking down at the -- you see where it
- 20 says, "History of present difficulties"?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 Q. And Dr. Everson noted -- fortunately, here we don't have
- 23 to worry about the handwriting -- that you had anxiety at the
- 24 time, right? You had it since childhood?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. And that you were using Valium. And "PRN" means as needed, right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And Dr. Everson noted you were in a lot of stress at work. So at that time it was during your CRL job, right?
- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And that you were going to move to a new job.
- 8 That was referring to you taking the position at PPD in
- 9 Kentucky, right?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. And then Dr. Everson also noted you had been off Celexa
- for a year or more. Celexa is an antianxiety and
- anti-depression medication?
- 14 A. Yes. And I was taking it for anxiety.
- Q. And you also noted that you didn't use the Valium much,
- but you used it for presentations, right?
- 17 A. Yes. But I didn't actually have to give any
- 18 presentations at CRL.
- Q. But you did have work stress at CRL that Dr. Everson was
- 20 noting here, right?
- 21 A. I had stress -- I had stress about taking on a new
- 22 position, and so I think it's a little bit misworded. But I
- was letting him know that I was going to be taking a new
- position, and I had some anxiety around that.
- MS. MANDEL: Thanks, Miranda. We can close out of

- 1 that one.
- 2 BY MS. MANDEL:
- 3 Q. I know you testified earlier this week that PPD recruited
- 4 you in 2015; is that right?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. Did PPD fly you out to Kentucky to interview with folks
- 7 there?
- 8 **A.** Yes.
- 9 Q. Do you remember how many people you interviewed with when
- 10 you were hired at PPD?
- 11 A. I don't remember the precise number.
- 12 **Q.** More than five? Fewer than five?
- 13 A. Probably more than five.
- Q. Once you took the position as executive director at PPD,
- did PPD pay for you to move from Kansas to closer to Highland
- 16 Heights?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. And you didn't live exactly in Highland Heights, right?
- 19 A. No. I lived in Cincinnati, which is right across the
- 20 border.
- 21 Q. So it's like a short drive from Highland Heights?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And you relocated in the summer of 2015 with your family,
- 24 right?
- 25 **A.** It was in August.

- Q. When you started at PPD in Highland Heights, you were going into the lab building five days a week, right?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And I know there were other lab locations that you have talked about this week. We've heard about Brussels and Shanghai; is that right?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- Q. But you were primarily working on a day-to-day basis in Highland Heights?
- 10 **A.** Yes. But I was hired to oversee all four global laboratories.
- Q. Understood. If you can, Dr. Menninger, let's paint a picture of what this building was like in Highland Heights.
- It's one building that has a lab and an administrative area; is that right?
- 16 A. Yes. There was a laboratory. There were two different
- floors that we had different lab sections on. And then there
- was an administrative area where offices and cubicles and
- things like that were set up.
- Q. And in your executive role, you had an office; is that right?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And was it in sort of a row, or an area with other
- 24 offices?
- 25 A. Yes. It was set up as, like, a perimeter of private

- offices, kind of in a square, and then cubicles in the middle.
- Q. Those external offices that were in a square, those were other executives who were also working in the administrative building?
- A. Not everyone was an executive, but primar- -- I guess somewhat.
- Q. And Mr. Mekerri's office, when he began at PPD, was also located in that area; is that right?
- 10 **A.** Yes.

- Q. And I know you testified, he traveled some, and you traveled some. But when you were both in Highland Heights,
- fair to say you did see each other on a regular basis?
- A. We did. He traveled extensively, so I didn't see him
  very much or know when he was going to be there, but when he
  was there, yes, I interacted with him.
- Q. And thinking back to what the world was like before

  COVID, did people tend to leave their doors open in that

  administrative area, or was it kind of more of a closed-door

  setting?
  - A. No, we left our doors open. Unless -- unless we were having a private conversation with someone.
- Q. Understood. Understood. And you testified earlier this
  morning that you visited the lab space, I think you called it
  "The Pit," whenever you could, right?

- A. I did not call it "The Pit." I referred to the
  individual lab sections. And when I started, there was a lot
  of construction going on. We were building out new spaces,
  upgrading areas. So "The Pit" was more of an old term that I
  did not use.
  - Q. Understood. And as part of that sort of upgrading and building out, I understand there are areas that are another sort of lab term, they are benches; is that right?
- 9 **A.** Yes.

- Q. Are the benches specific to a customer, a certain type of testing? Like how are those benches divided up?
- A. Well, first the lab is divided up into lab sections, based on the type of testing that's being performed. And
- then the benches are referring to the specific tests that are
- performed in that section. So every -- all the sections have
- 16 primarily lab benches.
- Q. And I think earlier this week, you used an example of a blood sugar test, right?
- 19 A. I believe so.
- Q. Would that be an example of something that would be performed on a specific bench?
- A. That was performed in the chemistry section, on an analyzer. So a large instrument. It wasn't really a bench-type test.
- Q. Okay. And I'm going to expose my own scientific

ignorance on that one.

So in each bench would have lab techs that were actually working with the samples, right?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

- Q. And then overseeing their actual work with samples would be a supervisor?
- A. Well, there were there were more levels, actually, in between that. There were different levels of med techs, and then there was a lead med tech, and then there was a supervisor. And the supervisor oversaw different sections of the laboratory.
- Q. So multiple benches would kind of lead up to one supervisor.
- A. Yes. If it -- yes. Sometimes. Like chemistry, primary, was large, automated instruments. It wasn't really benches.
- But other laboratories -- or other sections of the
- laboratories were primarily more benches than manual-type testing.
- 19 Q. And who did those supervisors report to?
- 20 **A.** They reported to either -- when I started, they reported to an associate director. I was told there was another level below that, as a manager, but that wasn't a position that was filled.
- In the Brussels lab, they also reported to an associate director, who was later promoted to director.

- Q. Were the associate directors that you saw during the time that you worked at PPD, were they medical doctors like you are?
  - A. No.

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. So if the associate director or below them, the supervisors had questions about the medical details of tests, who did those questions go to?
  - A. It depended on the question. You know, if it was something related to the testing and the instrumentation, that was something that they were trained on and had their own certifications that they had to maintain.
  - If it was something related to, like, medical doctor level, then, yes, it would come to myself or it would go to another doctor who was specialized in an area that I could not cover.
- Q. And I understand that one of the things was a little more medical, was something called reference ranges; is that right?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And reference ranges are, like, the normal range for a test result; is that right?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. So like on the blood sugar example, and I'm going to use wrong numbers, but let's say that it's like okay for your blood sugar to be between zero and ten. That's the reference

- range. And it's above that or below that, it's a problem.
- 2 And I know my numbers don't -- is that right?
- 3 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And reference ranges was an area where the lab needed to consult with you, because you were the doctor,
- 6 right?
- 7 A. Yes. I had to approve all the reference ranges.
- Q. And it was important to the customers that those correct reference ranges be used, because that's how they sort of figured out if what they were doing in medicine development
- 11 was working, right?
- 12 A. Yes. But reference ranges are established by each
- laboratory, based on the instrumentation reagents, et cetera,
- that they're using. And also you have to take into
- consideration the population that you're testing. For
- example, pediatric reference ranges would not necessarily be
- 17 the same as adults.
- 18 Q. Understood. And I think we're probably quite sure that
- 19 someone who's not a doctor wouldn't be able to talk
- 20 accurately about that.

- Let's look at the job description for the executive director of labs role?
- MS. MANDEL: Miranda, can you bring up 398, please.
- And I apologize, Dr. Menninger, just a little bit
- of a delay to bring up the exhibit. I'm a little less tech

```
savvy in that regard.
1
               THE COURT: I'm sorry, what exhibit number did you
 2
     say, Ms. Mandel?
 3
               MS. MANDEL: 398.
 4
               THE COURT: 3-9-8?
 5
               MS. MANDEL: Yes.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: Thank you.
               MS. MANDEL: A little tech delay. Sorry. There we
 8
     go. Thanks, Miranda.
 9
     BY MS. MANDEL:
10
11
         Dr. Menninger, you probably recall we looked at your job
     description earlier this week. This was the job description
12
13
     that you had during the entirety of the time you worked at
14
     PPD; is that right?
          I believe so. We had to update them yearly, and -- or
15
     sign off and make sure there were no changes. But, yes, this
16
     looks accurate.
17
     Q. And sure, that was one of the things, as the executive
18
19
     director of labs, that you worked with the company on, right?
         Yeah, I -- I didn't so much work on it, other than I just
20
     had to review and sign off that I had reviewed it.
21
         Sure. Understood.
22
     Ο.
23
               MS. MANDEL: And Miranda, can we just make it a
     little bit bigger. I know my eyes -- I don't know if it's my
24
     eyes are hard to read it. Thank you.
25
```

BY MS. MANDEL:

- Q. Dr. Menninger, at the top it says, "ED of labs." That refers to the executive director of labs, right?
- 4 **A.** Yes.

1

7

Q. And during the time that you worked at PPD, you were the only executive director of labs, right?

No, that's not correct. I think they used the same job

- description to describe anyone who was an executive director level for the Global Central Laboratories, even if it was a different, like -- if you were executive director of the
- Global Central Labs, but you oversaw project management, I
  was told that the job description was the same as this.
- Q. So for the folks who worked at this executive level, this was the job description?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Am I understanding that correctly?
- 17 A. That's what I was told.
- Q. Okay. And let's look at the specific job tasks that are on here. We're going to test our eyes a little bit.
- If we look down at the, "Supporting business
  development," it's the second bullet point next to "Essential
  function."
- Do you see that document here?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 Q. And it says, "Support business development in obtaining

- 1 new customers and maintaining relationships."
- 2 Do you see that?
- 3 A. Yes. Uh-huh.
- 4 Q. And this is something that you worked on, isn't it?
- 5 A. I worked with the executive director of business development on this particular bullet.
- Q. Okay. And that involved answering customer questions, if they had questions about the lab capabilities or things like reference ranges, right?
- A. That usually went to a different department, if it was something related to reference ranges.
- Q. Well, what about things what the lab could do, you know, just like the types of testing you could run?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 Q. That's something that you could answer?
- A. We would have frequent conversations about the type of testing that we could perform, based on the regulatory
- certifications that we held.
- Q. And that was something that you would answer questions on behalf of PPD to new customers about, right?
- A. I would. It wouldn't usually go directly to me first.
- 22 It would usually go to our scientific affairs department.
- 23 And if there was something that they could not answer, then
- they would reach out to me. Usually by e-mail.
- 25 Q. Understood.

So they sort of screened for the questions that required your level of input; is that right?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

- Q. Okay. And you also made yourself regularly available to answer questions about the lab studies that were being done for customers; is that right?
- 7 A. The testing portion.
- Q. Sure. The part that actually went to the medical tests, right?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And if we look at the next bullet point, it
  says, "Perform financial reviews, establish operating budget,
  and develop forecasts maximizing operating profit, provide
  business updates to senior leadership."
- And you did that on a regular basis, right?
- 16 **A.** Yes.

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. And if we look at the next the next bullet down, it says, "sets operational standards, goals and directs implementation of laboratory goals and policies, oversees resource allocation."
- And that's something that you did in connection with the operations folks, right?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Performs -- next bullet down is "Performs administrative responsibilities, including HR functions, personnel

development, facilities management, writing SOPs and PDs."

And I know you talked earlier this week about the writing up of the standard operating procedures, right?

A. Yes.

2

3

- Q. And you also worked on overseeing I know you've talked about some of the folks working in the lab and your reports.

  And you helped carry out the sort of human resources
- functions and personnel development for those people, right?
- 9 **A.** Yes. And it also involved they had to submit a 10 requisition any time they wanted to hire someone that 11 needed — I needed to review it and make sure it was
- justified and approved. And then I specifically was involved in the higher level employees that we recruited and hired.
- Q. And you met regularly with the company's senior leadership team, right?
- 16 A. Yes. We had bi-weekly meetings.
- Q. And those were in-person, Dr. Menninger?
- 18 A. It was a combination of in-person and people calling in.
- Because we're a global laboratory, so we had people calling in from the different global locations.
- Q. Sure. And this sort of like mothership of the lab locations in the US, it was Highland Heights, because that was the only US location, right?
- A. Highland Heights was the only US location, yes. But we treated all four laboratories as equal. I would say Highland

Heights had the highest volume of testing.

- Q. Looking at the bullet point that we were just -- that we were just reviewing, the one that says, "Perform administrative responsibilities, including HR functions"?
- A. Yes.

- Q. You did regular trainings for the lab staff, right?
- A. That came in different formats. Sometimes we brought people in. Sometimes we had to get sponsors that would come in and train on a new product, or assay, or instrument that they had. So we had different individuals who would do training. Sometimes it would be one of my direct reports who would train on a specific area, and sometimes it would be things like continuing education, where they would watch a webinar, or like a presentation presented by the College of American Pathologists, things like that.
- Q. So a mix of things that you needed to do to keep the lab up to date with whatever they needed to be aware of?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And one of your responsibilities, if we go to the last bullet, under essential functions, is to oversee quality assurance and quality control aspects of the lab, to ensure compliance with regulatory standards.

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And I know that you talked a lot this week about the

- regulatory roles, things like, I think, CAPA and CLIA; is that right?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And those regulatory requirements came with certain quality assurance obligations, right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And that fell within what you did, right?
- A. Yes. Some of it. The portion that related to the lab,
- but quality assurance also covers any ancillary departments
- 10 that support the lab.
- 11 Q. Sure. And if we look down lower, lower down on the page,
- 12 the last kind of box, it says, "Liaison." Do you see that,
- Dr. Menninger?
- 14 **A.** Oh, yes.
- Q. And there it says that the requirement was "to interact
- frequently with internal personnel and outside
- 17 representatives at various levels."
- Do you see that?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- Q. "Participates and may present at meetings with internal and external representatives"?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And that's all the kind of stuff that you've just been
- 24 describing, right? The training and the --
- 25 A. No, this is -- this is different.

- Q. Okay. So how is this different? What types of things did this involve?
- A. This would not be considered, like, an essential function to my job.
- Q. Right. And this is listed. I see. It's listed in a different box called "liaison," right?
- A. Right. I it's written very broadly. But, yeah, so I
  would interact with our internal company personnel, and
  occasionally outside representatives or clients. I never
  presented at any meetings, other than one time I presented a
  few slides at our internal sales meeting. And on two other
  occasions, I presented like two to three slides for a town
- Q. And town halls, Dr. Menninger, that's a bigger meeting
- within the company, right?

hall presentation.

- A. It's a meeting within all the GCL labs, just to give them high-level updates on what we're doing and the different areas.
- Q. And when you say GCL, I know that's Global Central
  Laboratories, but I just want to make sure that we're -- the
  jury understands.
- 22 So that's Global Central Labs?
- 23 **A.** Yes.

13

Q. And that would be the Brussels, Shanghai, Singapore, and the Highland Heights locations; is that right?

A. Yes.

1

4

5

7

8

- Q. And let's pop out of that box, and I want to focus your attention, Dr. Menninger, a little farther --
  - MS. MANDEL: I'm sorry, Miranda, not out of the exhibit. Just -- thank you.
- BY MS. MANDEL:
  - Q. Under the "summarized purpose" of the position, at the top of the page. At the end of that statement, it says, "Up to 30 percent travel."
- 10 Is that right?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. And you did travel from Highland Heights, or from your
- home in Cincinnati, to the other lab locations on occasion;
- is that right?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And, in fact, you needed to visit the Belgium lab, I
- think it was three times a year; is that right?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. And that was some type of regulatory requirement?
- 20 A. Yes. -- well, not the three times necessarily, but we
- 21 had to have a procedure that defined the frequency.
- 22 Q. Understood. So that there was assurance that there would
- be an executive director of labs on site with some
- 24 regularity?
- 25 **A.** Uh-huh.

- MS. MANDEL: Okay. And let's go -- Miranda, can we switch to the next page of the job description, please.
- BY MS. MANDEL:
- Q. And this is the second page of your job description from when you were the executive director of labs; is that right,
- 6 Dr. Menninger?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And I know that you've testified about this earlier this
- 9 week, but I just wanted to make sure that we're clear. If
- 10 you look under education and experience, this position
- required someone with a Ph.D. or an MD -- that's what you
- 12 have, right?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. And the other option is a DRPH. What's that?
- A. I think that's a doctor of pharmacy, but I don't think
- that would qualify, based on the regulatory standards that
- 17 I'm familiar with.
- 18 Q. And during the time that you were at PPD, it was really
- 19 the MD level that --
- 20 A. MD or Ph.D. level in a specific section.
- 21 Q. Okay. And the -- underneath that, it talks about
- 22 previous experience that's necessary; is that right?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And that was, in your case, based on the time that you
- 25 had spent at CRL, right? That was about five years. And

- before that, you had worked in clinical laboratories at the Saint Luke's system, right?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then let's -- let's look at the next page of the job description, as well. Under, "Working conditions and environment."
- 7 Do you see that at the top of the page,
- 8 Dr. Menninger?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And it says that the work is performed in an office or
- 11 laboratory and/or clinic environment. Do you see that?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And then frequently drives to site locations, travels
- within the United States, occasionally internation travel?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And that's what you were doing at this time when you were
- hired, right, you were driving to Highland Heights. At times
- you were flying to Brussels as needed; is that right?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And I believe in 2016, you did fly to Shanghai to visit
- 21 that lab; is that right?
- 22 A. Yes. And Singapore.
- 23 Q. And to Singapore. Okay.
- And my understanding is that Shanghai and
- Brussels -- there were sort of different requirements in the

- different countries for what the lab director really had to do to be on site; is that right?
  - A. In Asia they had local regulations that required the doctors who were on their laboratory directorship be local. So there were different doctors listed on their certificates, but for the purpose of performing testing, I would oversee that.
  - Q. Okay. Understood.

Looking down under the -- the "physical requirements" of your job, skipping the first two which really had to do more with the really truly physical requirements. If we look at the one, it's about I think seven down, it says, "Ability to communicate complex information and ideas."

Do you see that?

- A. I'm having a hard time finding it.
- Q. That's why I'm trying to -- I think it's the seventh bullet point down.
- 19 A. Okay. Yes.
- 20 Q. Do you see that?
- 21 **A.** Yes.

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. And then under that is "frequently interacts with others, relates sensitive information to diverse groups, internally and externally."
- Do you see that?

A. Yes.

- Q. And that sensitive information might be things like results of testing or those reference ranges that we talked about; is that right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And then if we look down at the -- at the last two bullets, the second to last one says, "Performing a wide range of complex tasks as dictated by variable demands and changing conditions."
- Do you see that?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- Q. "And the ability to perform under stress."
- Do you see that?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And the last bullet point there is "Regular and consistent attendance."
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. And let's look at the last page. It's actually an
- addendum to the job description. And this is -- if you look
- down at the last revision date, it says this was added in
- 21 2013, right?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 Q. And this was -- you started working at the company in
- 24 2015. So this was before that time?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- Q. And there are some specific requirements under -- it says, "Under additional specific job responsibilities, serve as the laboratory director for New York State accreditation."
  - A. Correct.

- Q. And I know we've heard this week a couple of mentions of
- 6 New York State, and it's a little confusing, of course,
- because you were working in Kentucky, but can you explain why
- 8 New York State had any relevance here?
- 9 A. If you perform testing on any samples that come from the
- 10 State of New York, you have to have New York State
- 11 accreditation.
- 12 Q. And so that was a specific requirement that PPD was
- making sure that they had in place so that they could get
- samples flown in from New York, right?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. And did you have the -- the credentials that were
- necessary for PPD to be able to get that New York State
- 18 accreditation?
- 19 A. I had some. There are multiple different areas and I
- 20 covered a lot of them, but there were some that we did not
- 21 | have coverage. And those were the positions where I
- identified compliance gaps and we were trying to hire to
- 23 address that.
- 24 Q. Sure. And I know you talked earlier this week about the
- 25 need to hire out to make sure that PPD was in compliance

1 there.

4

5

6

- 2 **A.** Yes.
  - Q. The -- the second bullet point there says, "Spend 70 percent of time on site and be available 30 percent of the time by telephone or computer, as needed."

Do you see that?

- 7 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. And on site, when you were hired, was in Highland
  Heights at the lab location?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- Dr. Menninger, you testified a few moments ago that
  you did need to visit the Belgium lab location with some
  regularity, right?
- 15 **A.** Yes.

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And who set the travel schedule for how often you went to Belgium?
  - A. I worked with my direct report, who is the lab director in Belgium, and we kind of set that schedule based on, like, if there was an inspection coming up or, you know we tried to space it out throughout the year, but sometimes because of other obligations, you know, there were a couple visits crammed together really fast and yeah, but we I set it with my direct report.
- 25 Q. Your direct report that was in Belgium?

- 1 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. And when you went to Belgium, you worked on site
- 3 with that direct report?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. And there was a lab building sort of like the one in
- 6 Highland Heights, but located in Brussels?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- 8 Q. On one trip you took to Belgium, you ran a 10K race; is
- 9 that right?
- 10 A. On the weekend, there were, I believe, three employees
- who were planning to run it from the Brussels lab, and they
- asked me if I would like to join. So with some hesitancy, I
- 13 agreed.
- 14 Q. Well, I think you're being humble, because you are a
- 15 runner, right?
- 16 A. I'm not a runner anymore.
- 17 **Q.** But you were at that time?
- 18 A. Just a little.
- 19 Q. Well, a little?
- 20 **A.** I'm not a very good runner.
- 21 Q. And when you visited the Belgium lab, I know you
- 22 mentioned there were inspections?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And dealing with inspections and audits, that was part of
- 25 your role as lab director?

- A. Not the routine client audits, but definitely the inspections.
  - Q. That was like a regulatory inspection, right?
- 4 A. Right.
- 5 Q. And that's when the regulatory agency would send someone
- 6 to look at what was actually physically being done in the
- 7 lab?
- A. Yes, that's correct. That was usually done by the College of American Pathologists.
- 10 Q. And in Belgium, was that also done --
- 11 A. Yeah. Yes. They did international inspections.
- 12 Q. And that required a lab director to be on site when they
- were doing the inspection?
- 14 A. Yes. Usually. I have been in inspections where there
- have been exceptions to that, but usually, yes, the lab
- 16 director is on site.
- Q. How long does one of these inspections last?
- 18 A. Usually they last just one day, sometimes two. It
- depends on how big the lab is and how many inspectors there
- 20 are.
- 21 Q. And can you describe for the jury what would happen
- during one of these inspections?
- 23 A. Well, CAP has a number of regulatory --
- 24 **Q.** CAP?
- 25 A. Yes. CAP is short for College of American Pathologists.

- They have a number of -- thousands of regulatory standards, and they're divided up into sections. So usually what would happen is they would bring a team out, and there would be an inspector that would inspect that particular -- one particular section. And so they would usually meet with the supervisor of that section, and they would go through the
  - Q. And if -- safe to say that if PPD didn't have all the things in place that were needed, you might lose that accreditation from CAP?

standards and make sure that we were compliant.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

- A. No. It is rare -- I mean, like I said, there are thousands, over 2,000 standards, so it's rare for any laboratory to have a CAP inspection and nothing be found. So, no, that's not the -- that's not how it usually works. There's a process where you respond. There's a summation
- conference at the end of the inspection, where they report their findings, and there's different levels of the findings.
- 18 And then you address them accordingly within usually a month.
  - Q. And then everything would be okay, and the lab could continue to function?
- A. Right. And then they update your certification for another two years.
- Q. Okay. Dr. Menninger, you spoke earlier this week about moving from the Highland Heights area -- I know you were living in Cincinnati, moving to the East Coast. Do you

- recall that?
- 2 **A.** Yes.

- Q. And at some point in 2016, you told Mr. Mekerri, who was
- 4 your manager, that your daughter was having difficulty in
- 5 school; is that right?
- 6 A. On multiple occasions we had those conversations.
- Q. And multiple times from the time Mr. Mekerri started as
- 8 your manager until the spring of 2016; is that right?
- 9 A. I believe it was fall of 2016.
- 10 Q. I'm sorry, fall of 2016. Okay. And so safe to say that
- 11 you were pretty honest with Mr. Mekerri about the challenges
- 12 that your daughter was having at that time?
- 13 A. Yes. We had -- we had a really great relationship and
- it -- you know, we would talk to each other about our
- families and personal things. And he -- he was very
- compassionate and understanding and, yeah, seemed to really
- care about my family and my child.
- 18 Q. In 2016, how old was your daughter at that time?
- 19 A. I think around 8 or 9. Eight maybe.
- Q. So that would be elementary school at that time, right?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 Q. And I know this must be hard to talk about. Around that
- time, you told Mr. Mekerri that she was being bullied in
- 24 school; is that right?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- **Q.** Can you describe a little bit more about what was happening with your daughter in school at that time that caused you to be concerned?
- A. It started -- I want to say it continued to escalate to much more concerning episodes, but there was tripping, targeting during PE, like intentionally trying to throw the ball to hit her. And there were some kids who would describe violent acts that they would do to characters that she really loved and watched on television that was very distressing to her.

And so we initially tried to address it with the teacher, and then also the physical education teachers, but things kept escalating. And Maya became extremely depressed and we became very concerned. So eventually, we went to speak to the head of the school. We were very concerned about the impact that this was going to have on them growing up, you know, building self-esteem and — it was just painful to watch as a mom. You want to protect your child. So, yeah.

Q. And I'm sorry to have to ask you about that. I know that was difficult.

I think you testified earlier this week that Mr. Mekerri had been accommodating for you to go and have meetings with the school, as you've just described; is that right?

- 1 A. Yeah. I mean my husband and I went once to meet with --
- 2 usually we would do it on, like, off hours, but at PPD, I
- mean, I was working nights, weekends. Since we were a global
- 4 lab and we were on different time zones, it was kind of like
- 5 you always had your phone and your laptop with you. So yes,
- 6 I would say, okay, we were -- take an hour for me to go to
- 7 the school and speak with the head.
- 8 Q. And at some point in 2016, you talked to Mr. Mekerri
- about the idea of possibly moving so that your daughter could
- 10 go to a different school; is that right?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. And around that time, you and your husband started to
- look at what school would be best for Maya; is that right?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 Q. Can you explain where you looked for schools that would
- work for your daughter?
- 17 A. We looked all over the -- the entire country.
- 18 Q. And what type of school were you looking for in
- 19 particular?
- 20 A. I was just looking for a place -- Maya is a very creative
- 21 child and I was just looking for a place that had a school
- 22 with diversity, a lot of opportunities to participate in
- creative activities, and schools that look like, you know --
- 24 Maya and I would look at websites together and I would see
- 25 what Maya's reaction was. And based on some of the clubs

- they had and the activities. And so that's how we -- that's
- 2 how we looked for schools, basically.
- Q. And anything in the US was sort of on the possibility
- 4 list, right?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. And you ultimately found out about a school in Rhode
- 7 Island called The Wheeler School; is that right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And how did you and your family learn about The Wheeler
- 10 School?
- 11 A. It was doing an internet search.
- 12 Q. An internet search?
- 13 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 14 Q. And you described a few moments ago that you kind of
- gauged your daughter's reaction as you looked at schools
- online. What jumped out to you or your family about The
- 17 Wheeler School?
- 18 A. Maya was extremely excited after looking at their website
- and what they had to offer. And you know, it was really nice
- for us to see her smile and be excited about a place. So,
- yeah, it was one of the schools at the top of our list that
- 22 we considered.
- 23 Q. And that's -- that's a private school in Rhode Island; is
- 24 that right?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- Q. And the school that your daughter had been at in Cincinnati, was that a public school or a private school?
  - A. It was also a private school.
- 4 Q. And at some point in this time period in 2016,
- 5 Dr. Menninger, you told Mr. Mekerri that you found a school
- 6 that you thought your daughter should go to; is that right?
- 7 A. I'm not sure if it was in 2016 or 2017 when we found that
- school, but I mentioned that we found a school that we were
- 9 going to take Maya to visit.
- 10 Q. And did you let Mr. Mekerri know that it was in Rhode
- 11 Island?
- 12 A. I believe so, yes.
- 13 Q. And after you visited the school, you and your family
- decided that that's where your daughter would go; is that
- 15 right?

- 16 A. We had to apply and wait to see if Maya would be
- accepted. And then I let Hacene know that she was accepted
- and he was very happy for me. And so I confirmed that that
- was where we were going to relocate.
- Q. And you didn't move to Rhode Island; is that right?
- 21 A. No. It was a little bit too expensive for us around The
- 22 Wheeler School, so we found a house a little further out.
- 23 And like I said, my husband was a stay-at-home dad, so he
- would drive Maya to school and pick them up.
  - Q. And so you moved -- well, you started to make

arrangements to move to Dighton, Mass.; is that right?

A. Yes.

- Q. And you told Mr. Mekerri that this was your plan. And how did he respond to you?
  - A. I think he was excited for me. He even had to write he wrote a letter for me for, you know, the house that we were purchasing, because I had to show evidence that I was still employed. And, yeah, he actually was like if there's any furniture that you need to set up your office, just let me know. And I said, no, it's fine. I don't need that. We can handle that ourselves. So just very supportive.
  - Q. And at this time, thinking back to that administrative area in the Highland Heights location, where you said there were offices around the periphery, were you aware of any other folks working in those offices, executives or others, who moved to different locations and worked from there for personal reasons?
  - A. I'm not sure I'm understanding what you're asking.
- Q. Understood. I'll try to ask that question better.

You described a little earlier this morning,
Dr. Menninger, that the kind of administrative area in the
Highland Heights building, where you sat with other
executives and other folks who oversee different parts of the
lab business; is that right?

A. Yes.

- Q. At the time that you were making arrangements to move to the East Coast, were you aware of anyone else who was kind of working in that area, who was making the move to live somewhere else for personal reasons?
  - A. No one at that time, but there were other executive directors at my level who were already working remote.
- **Q.** As of 2016?
- A. Yes.

- **Q.** And who was working remotely as of 2016, to your 10 knowledge?
- A. Michelle Dockhorn. She was our executive director of lab partnerships. They kind of managed our large, high profile clients, and she lived in Kansas, City.

And then Caroline Mackie, who was the executive director for business development. And she lived in Charlotte, North Carolina.

At that time, Michelle Dockhorn was reporting to Hacene, as well, and so when I said, you know, I want to throw out this option about what's going on with Maya, he actually brought up, well, yeah, Michelle's remote. So he didn't have a problem with it at all. He just wanted to run it by the person that he was reporting to at the time, who was David Johnston, who also was fine with it.

Q. So Mr. Mekerri generally was supportive of his employees working from where they needed to work from, as long as they

- could get their job done; is that right?
- 2 A. I can't speak to his opinion about other employees. I
- 3 wouldn't --
- 4 Q. At least from what you experienced, though?
- 5 A. I suppose. I don't know.
- Q. Did Mr. Mekerri tell you that he was receiving push back
- 7 from other people about the idea of you relocating to
- 8 Massachusetts?
- 9 **A.** No.
- 10 Q. And I know that you mentioned that Mr. Mekerri offered to
- 11 get you whatever furniture you would need for your home
- office in Dighton; is that right?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. And you set up a home office in your basement there?
- A. Yes. We actually had a -- a built-out, finished area
- specifically for that purpose.
- Q. And PPD did provide you with things like computer
- monitors, a docking station, so that you could set up your
- home office and be able to continue your work seamlessly,
- 20 right?
- 21 A. Yeah. They -- they shipped two monitors and a docking
- 22 station. It might have been the same docking station that I
- was using in Highland Heights, but I already had my laptop
- and I already had my cell phone.
- Q. And once you moved to Dighton, BPD paid for your travel

- back to Highland Heights whenever you needed to be there, right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Even though you moved for personal reasons, PPD still did that.
- A. They did that for anyone who had to travel for business purposes.
- Q. And just to be clear on the dates, Dr. Menninger, you actually moved to Dighton, it was June 2017; is that right?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And that was your daughter finished out the school year in Cincinnati, and then you relocated?
- 13 **A.** Yes.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. So for the rest of 2017, from June to December of 2017, fair to say you only made the trip back to Highland Heights twice; is that right?
  - A. In July, we were waiting for all of our stuff to arrive, and then in August, I took some PTO, because I had to take ——
    I had to take my 10-year recertification board exam. So I took some PTO because I knew I needed some time to study for that.
    - After that, I was extensively traveling, every month. I went to Highland Heights in September, and I turned around and I went to Belgium, and then I turned around and I went back to Highland Heights, and then I turned around and

- went back to Belgium in December. So there was frequent travel that last quarter -- quarter of 2017.
- Q. So again, looking at the period after you moved in

  June 2017, through December, it was two trips to Highland

  Heights and two trips to Belgium. Do I have that right?
  - A. Yes.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for it.

- Q. And I know we looked a few moments ago at that requirement in the ED of labs description to be on site 70 percent of the time; is that right?
- A. Yes. That was for the position that was to be
  permanently located on site in Highland Heights, the position
  we were recruiting for.
- Q. And at that time, there wasn't someone else filling that position, right?
  - A. There wasn't because that position was put on hold. But before that, early in the spring, we found an excellent candidate that everyone loved, super-qualified, and the thought was that well, I think we actually did make an offer. And I don't know all the details of why it wasn't accepted, but I think it had something to do with salary. And then so, unfortunately, that candidate, who we all thought was going to take that position, then right after that, they put the position on hold, so I couldn't recruit
  - Q. And Dr. Menninger, in 2018, the first half of 2018,

- before you went on medical leave, you made one trip to Highland Heights; is that right?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And in that first half of 2018, any international trips to the other labs?
- A. No.
- Q. Let's look back at an exhibit that we looked at earlier this week.
- 9 MS. MANDEL: Miranda, can we bring up 378, please.
- 10 BY MS. MANDEL:
- Q. Dr. Menninger, you may recall looking at this exhibit
  earlier in the week. I think you -- you explained that this
  is a list of responsibilities that you were doing that you

created for Mr. Mekerri in November of 2017; is that right?

15 **A.** Yes.

- Q. And this was -- your cover e-mail is what you see in front of you. This is the cover e-mail where you attached a list of your responsibilities that you were handling; is that right?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And I just want to look at a few parts of this where we didn't really focus on on Monday. And I -- I just want to clarify, you put this list together on your own, right?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. Let's look at the first page of the chart of work

- 1 tasks. And you may recall, we looked at this the other day.
- 2 You first talked about your number of e-mails you were
- 3 handling; is that right?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And then looking down at the next item was meetings. And
- I know you've talked this morning a fair amount about the
- 7 meetings that you were having. And you said this was about
- 8 four hours a day, on average?
- 9 A. Yes. You know, it was -- it depends on the week.
- 10 Q. Sure. I mean, there was some variability, I'm assuming?
- 11 **A.** Yeah.
- 12 Q. And then if we go down, there's a pretty big square that
- says, "SciTech projects"?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And one of the things listed under there is -- well, it
- says "SciTech projects and questions requiring lab director
- input and approval."
- And you give some examples of what those are,
- 19 right?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. And you were the lab director giving that input, right?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 Q. And if we look over at how much time that was taking,
- 24 that's about one to two hours a day that you were spending
- 25 that time doing the input on?

A. Yes.

1

- Q. And then if we look down at the next -- the next box,
- where it says, "Internal/external technical consultations"?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. And I think this is some of what you talked about this
- 6 morning, right? Those technical consults?
- 7 A. Yes. This was -- yes.
  - Q. And this was something you were doing daily, as well?
- 9 A. Frequently. Maybe not every day.
- 10 Q. Sure. And can you just explain, so internal versus
- 11 external, can you explain what the difference was? You know,
- what counted as an internal consultation and what was an
- 13 external one?
- 14 A. Usually the internal consultations were with like a
- 15 | project manager. And the external were with clients or
- another group that was kind of between the client and the
- 17 laboratory.
- 18 Q. And I want to pause, actually, on client, Dr. Menninger,
- 19 because I think, you know, the jury might not understand
- 20 exactly what that means as part of the PPD lab business.
- 21 When you say "client," those are the folks who are
- 22 engaging PPD to help them with their work, right?
- 23 A. Yes. It is primarily pharmaceutical companies.
- 24 Q. So to break this down, to be a little bit more granular,
- if a pharmaceutical company is developing a new medicine,

- they would need to run lab tests to see how patients are 1 responding to the medicine; is that right? 2
- Our laboratory primarily focused on safety testing. we weren't necessarily doing all of their research based 4 testing. That would go to other laboratories. 5 primarily to make sure that, you know, the -- what they were developing was not harming the patient in some way.
- So the tests --8 Q.
- 9 It was testing that you would typically run in a hospital laboratory. 10
- 11 But PPD worked directly with the customers to do the testing and report the results back to the customers, without 12 13 the hospital --
- 14 Α. Yes.

- Understood. So PPD wasn't doing any of its own work or 15 Q. testing. Right? It was on all on behalf of customers? 16
- Unless there was a new assay that we wanted to bring in. 17 18 So, for example, if it was a test that we previously sent out
- 19 to another lab to perform because we didn't have a high
- volume, and then all of a sudden we got a study with a very 20
- high volume, we would develop that test in our lab, instead 21
- of sending it out. 22

- 23 And just for the benefits of the jury, an assay is -- can you explain what an assay is? 24
  - It's a laboratory test. Α.

- Q. And I know that you testified earlier this morning about there being some build out construction going on in the Highland Heights. I think it was towards the earlier part of your employment; is that right?
- A. Yes. It was going on at the start of my employment, but it was also going on throughout. It was going -- we had a significant build out of a state of the art molecular laboratory in 2017.
- 9 **Q.** And that was to add new capabilities for new types of testing that PPD could do in Highland Heights, right?
- A. Yes. And that was why I was specifically recruiting for a director for the molecular lab.
- Q. Because molecular is like its own thing that needs a expert, right?
- 15 A. It requires additional qualifications, yes.
- Q. So looking back at what you were explaining what you spent your time on on a daily basis, when you said internal/external technical consultation, the external computation, you used the term clients, those were folks who were hiring PPD to run the testing, the safety testing for medicines, right?
- 22 **A.** Yes. For the most part.
- 23 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- And then let's also look at the next page of this -- this list you made. We didn't look at this on

- 1 Monday, but let's look at the next page.
- So here you listed out, Dr. Menninger, other daily,
- 3 weekly, monthly activities. Do you see that?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. And there are a lot of things on the list, but if we look
- down to sort of just below the halfway point, one of the
- 7 things listed is direct reports. And 1:1 is like a one on
- 8 one, right?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. And that would be a one on one meeting with your direct
- 11 reports?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 Q. And you would do performance reviews of your reports,
- 14 right?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 Q. And coaching, as well, right?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. And what types of coaching did you do for your reports,
- 19 Dr. Menninger?
- 20 A. For example, if I had a particular employee that I was
- 21 getting complaints about, I would coach them on whatever the
- 22 particular issue was. I had a complaint that one of my
- 23 direct reports did not have a great communication style and
- 24 so I did some coaching on that. But it was basically
- 25 coaching on areas where they might be able to improve or it

- could be coaching on, you know, say they had a goal to
  advance in their career, and what steps might they take to
  pursue that.
- Q. And you would -- you would do that coaching as part of those one on one meetings that you had?
- A. Yes. But also sometimes in addition, if it was -- if I felt like it needed to be done immediately.
- Q. You would take the employee aside and talk to them about those issues?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. Let's switch gears and bring up -- let's?
- MS. MANDEL: Your Honor, is this -- would you like to break?
- 14 THE COURT: I think keep going. I think we'll go a
  15 little bit longer. We'll take the break more like 11:15 or
  16 so.
- MS. MANDEL: Perfect. Thank you.
- 18 Let's switch to Exhibit 80. This is Joint
- 19 Exhibit 80.
- 20 BY MS. MANDEL:
- 21 Q. Dr. Menninger, this is a series of e-mails, and you see
- 22 Chad St. John's name, and Mr. Mekerri, who we've heard about,
- as well. And Brent McKinnon is one of the folks on here. I
- 24 believe you testified earlier this week, but let's just
- remind the jury, who's Brent McKinnon?

- A. He was the executive director for quality assurance.
- 2 Q. So based on your understanding, Mr. McKinnon was
- responsible for making sure that the quality level was where
- 4 it needed to be for customers and for all of those
- 5 accreditation standards; is that right?
- A. He coordinated the quality assurance activities. I
- 7 wouldn't say he was directly responsible for them.
- 8 Q. Understood. Let's look -- if we look in the -- zoom into
- 9 the middle of the page, there's an e-mail that Brent McKinnon
- 10 sent to Mr. Mekerri. And it looks like he copied Mr. St.
- John in HR; is that right?
- 12 **A.** Yes.

- Q. And Mr. McKinnon sent this e-mail on October 11, 2017.
- 14 So and just for context, Dr. Menninger, this is after you had
- moved to Massachusetts, right?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. And Mr. McKinnon said, "Good afternoon, Hacene,"
- and that's Hacene McCarry, "hope your EU trip is going well"
- the EU is the European Union, right?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. "I wanted to follow-up with our discussion
- regarding on site time for the US lab director."
- And at this point, you were the US lab director; is
- 24 that right?
- 25 A. Yes. But I think he was referring to -- he knew we were

- hiring for this position and it looks like he was asking for any updates.
  - Q. Okay. And then he says -- and we'll look at the below e-mail, it says, "Below Kathy has highlighted this concern for Lisa."
- And fair to say that that's referring to you,

  Dr. Menninger?
- 8 **A.** Yes.

4

- 9 Q. "Following research specific to NYS."
- That's that New York state standard that you described earlier?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- Q. "And therefore, I wanted to let you know I know we are active on the recruiting front, but this can turn out to be a significant issue with the NYSDOH."
- Is that New York State Department of health?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. "Inspection which is about a month away."
- 19 Is that right?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And I know you talked about inspections, but when those regulatory inspections happened, that was when whatever lab it was needed to be able to show everything was in compliance and sort of dotting the Is and crossing the Ts, right?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- And so it sounds like Mr. McKinnon here was expressing 1 2 concern about whether that would be possible, given this upcoming inspection by New York; is that right?
- 4 I think this was a concern that Kathy, his direct report, had. 5
- O. And let's look at that. So underneath Mr. McKinnon's e-mail, is another e-mail. This is October 11th that same 7 day, in 2017. And this is an e-mail from Kathy Dick. And 8 you said Kathy Dick was Mr. McKinnon's report on the quality side? 10
- 11 Α. Yes.
- It's an e-mail from Kathy Dick and it actually went to 12 you and to Lorraine McNamara. 13
- Who is Lorraine McNamara? 14
- She was the lab director of the Belgium lab and she was 15 the acting interim director, who was overseeing the US lab 16 supervisors, while -- because our associate director had left 17 that position in the spring.
- 19 0. And this was what you were describing earlier, like that kind of open position that was covered on an interim basis? 20
  - This was -- this was one of them. Α.
- And then there was also a copy of the e-mail went to 22 Ο.
- Mr. McKinnon, right? 23
- Α. Yes. 24

21

And let's look up -- so this is an e-mail where Kathy 25 Q.

Dick said to you and to Lorraine, the middle paragraph is, "I do have a concern as we approach New York state inspection, the standard as written has the expectation that a full time lab director, serving as the primary CQ holder."

What is CQ, Dr. Menninger?

- A. I do not remember what that stands for, but basically it's referring to you have a primary director for the New York certification, and then you have CQ holders for different sections, because it's -- usually -- usually you don't have one person who is an expert in all of the sections.
- Q. Understood. And New York had a ton of requirements, right?
- A. They had a lot of different areas where they had CQ holders.
  - Q. And then this paragraph goes on. It says, "The standard does provide a statement that time on site should be defined in the lab director job description, which is written as 70 percent of the time being on site."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

- Q. And then it says that the JD, the job description, and the standard are attached. And we looked at those today and saw that 70 percent, right?
- A. Correct.

Q. "And this was required as an outcome of our previous New York state inspection."

Do you see that?

A. Yes. I do.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

Q. And the next paragraph says, "While we can certainly show evidence of lab director support through IM. "

That's instant message; is that right?

A. Yes. But the document changed.

MS. MANDEL: Yeah. We're just going to blow up that bottom paragraph.

Thanks, Miranda.

- 12 BY MS. MANDEL:
- Q. "Through IM, email, phone, et cetera, we are currently out of compliance with the standard and the job description."

  Do you see that?
  - A. Yes. But that's not accurate. It wasn't a New York standard. I think this was a concern Kathy Dick had, based on an inspection that happened with her, prior to me joining PPD.
- Q. And the next sentence, Ms. Dick does talk about in the past New York state to push back on the way this was being covered, right?
- 23 A. Right.
- Q. And the last sentence, Ms. Dick said the New York profile still had you listed as being on site Monday through Friday,

8:00 to 5:00, right?

Α. Right.

1

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

- And at this time, you weren't on site, because you were Q. working from Massachusetts the majority of the time, right?
  - This was a concern for me, as well, because this is Α. the position that was put on hold, and I had a candidate that met all of these requirements that we wanted to hire, and then, all of the sudden, they put the position on hold. So I couldn't hire to fill that position.
  - Regardless, we had an excellent State of New York inspection.
- Q. And before we get there, back to that e-mail from 12 Mr. McKinnon, and this was just a little bit later on 13 14 October 11, 2017, you see Mr. McKinnon was forwarding on this
- concern to both Mr. Mekerri and Mr. St. John to make them 15 aware of the concern, right?
- It's a little bit small, hard to read. Yes. 17
- 18 And we can make that middle section bigger again. Q. Yup.
- 19 MS. MANDEL: Thanks Miranda.
- BY MS. MANDEL: 20
- Q. And this is where Mr. McKinnon e-mails both Mr. Mekerri 21 and Mr. St. John. And again, this is October 11, 2017. And 22 23 it says Kathy -- and that's Kathy Dick, right? Highlighted this concern to Lisa -- and Lisa is referring to you, right? 24
- A. Yes. 25

- Q. Okay. Thank you.
- Now, I want to look back at Joint Exhibit 377,
- 3 which is one that we looked at earlier this week. And now we
- 4 have this additional context from Mr. McKinnon, before he
- provided this 360 feedback to Mr. Mekerri. Is that right?
- 6 **A.** Yes.

- 7 Q. So -- and we looked at this earlier this week, but
- 8 this -- Mr. McKinnon sent this e-mail a few weeks later.
- 9 This is November 29, 2017.
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And it begins, the e-mail he says, "Hi, Hacene" -- that's
- 12 Mr. Mekerri. "Thanks for the opportunity to provide my
- 13 input. See below."
- Do you see that?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And there are a number of people listed below, and I know
- we talked about this a little bit earlier this week, but 360
- input, that is when different folks in the company could
- 19 provide their input about having worked with various people;
- is that right?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 Q. And so you didn't report to Mr. McKinnon and he didn't
- 23 report to you, right?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. But you did report to Mr. Mekerri; is that right?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And as you've described, your role in quality did have some kind of overlap at times with Mr. McKinnon's role,
- 4 right?

- 5 A. Yes. At a high level.
- Q. And so fair to say that Mr. Mekerri was reaching out to people who did interact with you and others to get that input, right?
- 9 **A.** Yes. But I rarely interacted with Brent McKinnon. I interacted more with his direct reports.
- Q. Understood. Let's blow up the section about the 360 feedback that Mr. McKinnon gave regarding you, Dr. Menninger.

  And this is what we looked at the other day, as well.
- So this is -- again, this is just a few weeks that

  Mr. McKinnon had forwarded that e-mail from Kathy Dick,

  right?
- A. I believe so. I don't remember the date on the last e-mail.
- Q. And Mr. McKinnon said, "My feedback with Lisa" -- and that's your, right -- "is mixed, as you and I have discussed."
- 22 Right?
- 23 A. Yes. That's what it says.
- Q. And then it says, "While I feel that Lisa is technically strong, and a nice person, she has not demonstrated the

leadership strength that I would expect. Lisa has been very indecisive on numerous, important matters."

And then he lists out what those things are. Do you see that? "Lab training, competency, documentation, and supervisor responsibilities."

- A. I do see that.
  - Q. "That had resulted in lingering compliance concerns raised by internal auditors, SLT peers" -- wait, SLT, is that senior leadership team?
- 10 **A.** Yes.

1

2

4

5

7

11 Q. "And customers. She has been dismissive of repetitively
12 raised concerns regarding the lack of bench level
13 supervision, and since her decision to relocate, she has been
14 lackadaisical toward her time on site in the US lab; this
15 style has led to an environment where lack of accountability
16 is prevalent and overall disrespect for leadership decisions
17 persist."

Do you see that?

19 **A.** Yes.

- Q. And at this point, Dr. Menninger, this is November of 2017, right?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And I think based on your testimony from earlier this week, we have established that that was about -- about two months before you told PPD or Mr. Mekerri that you had a

- 1 disability; is that right?
- A. I -- I told them I had a disability on December -- around
  January 11, 2018.
  - Q. So this is a couple months before that?
- 5 A. Approximately.
- MS. MANDEL: Yeah, thanks, Miranda. We can close out of that.
- 8 BY MS. MANDEL:

- 9 Q. And you testified earlier this week, Dr. Menninger, that
- 10 Mr. Mekerri told you in December of 2017 that he wanted to
- increase certain parts of your role. Right? Social
- interactions, different types of visibility?
- 13 A. He said that he was considering some changes to my role
- in 2018, and that we would discuss those further after the
- 15 holidays.
- Q. So this is before the holidays, in December of 2017,
- Mr. Mekerri told you that he was thinking about these
- 18 changes.
- 19 A. Not changes, just -- well, yeah, additional things that
- 20 he had in mind for my role. And he gave an example of
- 21 presentations to clients.
- 22 Q. And Mr. Mekerri described at that time, I think you
- testified, additional visibility. Is that right?
- 24 A. I believe so.
- Q. And when Mr. Mekerri told you this in December of 2017,

- what did you understand additional visibility to mean?
- 2 A. Well, he -- he gave me an example of formal PowerPoint
- presentations in front of pharmaceutical clients.
- 4 Q. And was this discussion that you had with Mr. Mekerri in
- 5 December 2017, was that an in-person conversation?
- A. No. That was by phone.
- 7 Q. Because you were not in Highland Heights. You were in
- 8 Dighton at that time; is that right?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. Okay. And I know that you -- you testified earlier this
- week that at various points you prepared slides, PowerPoint
- 12 slides, for different purposes?
- 13 A. Rarely, yes.
- Q. So at this point, you had some experience doing slides on
- behalf of the lab business, and Mr. Mekerri was talking about
- kind of growing that part of what you were doing?
- 17 **A.** I had done a few slides internally, but never for
- 18 clients.
- 19 Q. And I know you just testified a few moments ago, then it
- was -- it was after the holidays, on January 11th, that you
- 21 told Mr. Mekerri that you wanted to let him know about a
- 22 disability that you had?
- 23 A. Yes. And it was because of what he brought up in that
- 24 December meeting.
- Q. So in December, Mr. Mekerri told you about wanting to

```
kind of increase your visibility in certain ways, and because
1
     of that, you wanted to let him know that you had a
 2
     disability?
          I wanted to let him know, because he gave me the
 4
     impression that he wanted me to give large and formal
 5
     presentations in front of pharmaceutical clients, and I knew
     what impact that would have on me and actually mentioned at
 7
     the time that that would make me anxious, and he responded
 8
     with, you know, oh, but your CV is so impressive. I've seen
 9
     you present. You do such an excellent job. And, yeah, that
10
     was the extent of it, but I was anxious because of that
11
     potential change in my role.
12
13
               THE COURT:
                            Sure. Break here.
14
               Ladies and gentlemen. Take the morning break.
               All rise for the jury.
15
                (The jury exits the courtroom.)
16
               THE COURT: I'll find out what that is when they
17
     come back.
18
               That was not 23 minutes.
19
               MR. HANNON: I owe you minutes, Your Honor.
20
               THE COURT: You're in phase two or ten, no matter
21
            I would have given you that amount of time, because it
22
23
     was only said you said 23 minutes because you were so
     confident. That makes me worry about Friday. So just so
24
```

we're clear, you have to make next Friday, unless

```
something -- unless there's a snowstorm or something like
1
     that. I leave it to all of you to figure out your timing
 2
     with that in terms of -- did I tell them -- did they raise a
     thing about Monday?
 4
 5
                THE DEPUTY CLERK: Uh-uh.
                THE COURT: Okay. I'll tell them at the end of the
 6
     day, about the schedule, and we're on track.
 7
                So we'll resume at 11:30. Thanks.
 8
                (Court in recess at 11:16 a.m.
 9
                and reconvened at 11:30 a.m.)
10
11
                THE COURT: You can go get the jury.
                (The jury enters the courtroom.)
12
                THE COURT: You can proceed, Ms. Mandel.
13
14
     BY MS. MANDEL:
          Dr. Menninger, you started to see a new psychiatrist in
15
     the -- towards the end of January 2018; is that right?
16
17
     Α.
          Yes.
     O. And that was Dr. Marianna Kissimian?
18
19
     A. That's correct.
          She is located in Providence or she was located in
20
     Providence?
21
22
     Α.
          Yes.
23
          And going back to sort of prepandemic times, you saw her
     in person, in an office?
24
     A. Yes. Most of the time.
25
```

- Most of the time. Q.
- And when you first went to see Dr. Kissimian, in --2
- I believe it was January 22, 2018, is that right? 3
  - Α. I lost the --

4

7

- Yeah, we'll look at that exhibit in a minute, but it was 5 Q. around January 22, 2018?
  - I believe so. I don't have the date memorized. Α.
  - Q. Understood. We're going to look at those notes.
- And you reported to Dr. Kissimian that you were 9 concerned about how you would respond with anxiety about 10 11 things that PPD was asking you to get more involved in; is that right?
- 13 I told her about the changes to my role that Hacene 14 brought up and my -- my concerns with how that would affect
- my condition. 15
- Q. And that's what prompted you to go see Dr. Kissimian at 16
- that point? 17
- 18 I also had some documentation that I needed to get
- filled out for HR. 19
- That was accommodation paperwork for HR? 20 Q.
- 21 Α. Yes.
- Dr. Menninger, even though Mr. Mekerri told you about 22
- 23 these changes that he was planning or, you know, kind of
- adjustments that he wanted to make to your role in December 24
- of 2017, he never actually implemented any of those changes, 25

correct?

- 2 A. That's correct.
- Q. Dr. Menninger, I also want to be clear, no one at PPD
- 4 ever said anything negative about any of your disabilities.
- 5 Isn't that right?
- 6 A. That's correct. But it was confidential.
- 7 Q. Yes. I understand that. But you didn't hear anyone say
- anything that was negative, expressed negative views about
- 9 your anxiety or anything like that, right?
- 10 A. No, there was no one else who knew, other than HR and
- 11 Hacene.
- 12 Q. And I also want to clarify, PPD never put you on any sort
- of performance improvement plan; is that right?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- Q. And you never, throughout your employment, received any
- kind of written discipline or anything like that, right?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. You mentioned a couple moments ago that you went through
- some accommodation paperwork after you told PPD about your
- 20 anxiety; is that right?
- 21 A. Yes. I've followed up with Chad to find out if there
- were any forms or a particular process that I needed to
- 23 follow.
- 24 Q. And "Chad" is Chad St. John in HR?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- Q. And I know we saw his name earlier today, but he was the
  HR director that the folks in Highland Heights worked with on
  a daily basis for any HR needs; is that right?
- 4 **A.** Yes.

- Q. Within a few days of you telling Mr. Mekerri about your anxiety on January 11th, Mr. St. John reached out to you to discuss accommodation paperwork, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. And I know that was a long time ago, so let's pull up that communication.
- MS. MANDEL: Miranda, I apologize, we're going to start with Joint Exhibit 407.
- 13 BY MS. MANDEL:
- Q. So this is an e-mail, Dr. Menninger, that's dated
- January 15, 2018, from Chad St. John to you. Do you see
- 16 that?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And again, it was January 11th that you told Mr. Mekerri
- 19 that you had anxiety?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. Let's just go through this e-mail. So starting at the
- 22 top, Mr. St. John said, "I spoke with Hacene," that's Hacene
- 23 Mekerri?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 Q. He said, "I fully appreciate the sensitivity in the

- information that you have disclosed" -- and actually, he refers back to that January 11th date. Do you see that?
- A. Yes.
- Q. You -- you inform Mr. Mekerri of your limitation. And
- 5 then below that -- and then he actually also said that
- 6 eluded -- and maybe that was meant to say alluded to a need
- 7 for an accommodation to be able to perform the essential
- 8 functions of your job. Do you see that?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. And beneath that, Mr. St. John explained that PPD
- complies with the Americans with Disabilities Act and wants
- to support you so that you can continue to perform your job
- duties; is that right?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And then Mr. St. John explained the process is
- interactive and he looked forward to having this conversation
- with you.
- MS. MANDEL: Let's just go up a little bit,
- 19 Miranda. Sorry about that.
- 20 BY MS. MANDEL:
- 21 Q. And then he explains further, "PPD has a formal process
- 22 that will allow us to identify or substantiate your
- 23 disability limitations and effective accommodations. And
- 24 then he explained that, to start the process, there are two
- forms that would be needed, and one is a request for

accommodation form that would be completed by you, and the other is a physician statement."

Is that right?

A. Correct.

Q. And then Mr. St. John explained that the second form was to be completed by your doctor, "Please take your job description" -- which he attached, "to your medical provider, and review how your medical condition might affect your job functions."

Do you see that?

- **A.** Yes.
  - Q. And he explained that the form would include information for your doctor regarding what major life activities are limited, and he explained this accommodation process; is that right?
- **A.** Yes.
- Q. And then going down further, Mr. St. John explained how
  long you had to return the form, that you had 15 days to get
  it back, although he also explained that, you know, you might
  get -- you could get more time.

And let's look at the paragraph that begins, "I have also included EAP information."

Do you see that, Dr. Menninger?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall what EAP is?

- 1 A. I believe it was -- stood for employee assistance program.
- Q. And then Mr. St. John said, "I can certainly share FMLA and STD." Is that Family Medical Leave Act and short-term disability information?
- 6 A. Yes, I see that.

8

9

10

11

12

Q. "If you need to pursue those avenues." And then he says, "please let me know if you have any questions."

And then let's look at what those attachments are.

MS. MANDEL: So if we look at the next couple of -- Miranda, that's look at pages 24 to 27.

So these are in the attachments.

And I think, Miranda, the Bates number 24. Thank you.

- 15 BY MS. MANDEL:
- Q. So this is that physician's statement for accommodation, and it was a blank -- a blank version of that form. Is that right, Dr. Menninger?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- MS. MANDEL: And then, Maria, can we -- let's just scroll down and look at the other -- the following couple of pages.
- 23 BY MS. MANDEL:
- Q. And this request for accommodation form continues to be completed by your doctor; is that right?

A. Correct.

1

- Q. And this is what you described taking to Dr. Kissimian when you first went to see her on January 22nd, is that right?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- MS. MANDEL: Okay. And Miranda, can we go to Bates number 28, please.
- 8 BY MS. MANDEL:
- Q. So this is that EAP paperwork. This is information about what you said was the employee assistance program; is that
- 11 right, Dr. Menninger?
- 12 A. It looks like it. I actually never opened it up.
- 13 Q. You didn't open this attachment?
- 14 **A.** No.
- Q. Do you see it says, "What can my EAP and work life services benefit do for me"?
- This is kind of in the middle of the page.

Do you see that?

- 18 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And it says, "You may be struggling with stress at work, seeking financial or legal advice, or coping with the death of a loved one."
- 23 **A.** Yes.

22

Q. And then it lists out things that this EAP program can help with, and it includes depression, anxiety, stress, down

- below it includes living with chronic health conditions, it includes child care. Do you see that?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And since you didn't open this, Dr. Menninger, is it fair to say that you didn't pursue any of these services?
- A. No. I felt my condition was more serious than what the EAP could address.
- Q. Although in fairness, you didn't know, because you didn't open the document; is that right?
- 10 A. No. But I knew about the EAP program.
- 11 Q. You were aware of it. And then after Mr. St. John sent
- you all of this information, that's when you went to see
- Dr. Kissimian, and that was a week later, on January 22nd?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And you took the paperwork from Mr. St. John to
- 16 Dr. Kissimian?
- A. I either handed to her, or I'm emailed it. I don't
- 18 remember which.
- Q. And after you gave that paperwork to Dr. Kissimian, both
- 20 Dr. Kissimian and you filled out your different portions of
- 21 the paperwork; is that right?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- MS. MANDEL: Let's look at Joint Exhibit 47,
- 24 please, Miranda.
- 25 BY MS. MANDEL:

- 1 Q. So this first -- the first page here is an e-mail from
- 2 Dr. Kissimian to Chad St. John. And this was sent on
- January 31, 2018; is that right?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. And Dr. Kissimian said, "Chad, per our conversation, the
- forms are attached." So at this point, it seems like Mr. St.
- 7 John and Dr. Kissimian had already talked?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And there are the attachments. This was the physician
- 10 form that Dr. Kissimian sent back. Let's look at that.
- MS. MANDEL: Can we go to the next page, please.
- 12 Thanks, Miranda.
- 13 BY MS. MANDEL:
- 14 Q. So this is the same form that we saw in blank, this is
- the one that Dr. Kissimian filled out and sent back to
- 16 Mr. St. John; is that right?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. And it's a little hard to tell exactly what Dr. Kissimian
- 19 wrote in, but you can see, under number 3, it says, "What is
- 20 the impairment?"
- 21 Do you see that?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 Q. And Dr. Kissimian listed panic disorder with agoraphobia,
- 24 social anxiety, generalized anxiety disorder.
- Do you see that?

A. Yes.

- Q. Dr. Menninger, can you explain in laymen's terms what agoraphobia is?
  - A. It's a fear of places or situations that might trigger a panic attack.
- Q. And it's specific to situations being around other people, right?
- A. No, I'm -- not necessarily. I'm not a psychiatrist, so I don't know the precise definition, but I don't think it is specific to being around other people. I think it's just anything that you would anticipate could cause a panic attack, like place or situation.
  - Q. Okay. And these were -- these were conditions that, as you understood, you had been dealing with up until this point, but you had not told PPD about; is that right?
    - A. Yes. I had never actually been diagnosed with panic disorder with agoraphobia before this. But I knew I had panic attacks and I was diagnosed with social anxiety disorder and generalized anxiety disorder.
  - Q. And in the next question, it says, "Is the impairment permanent, long term, or temporary?"

And then it says, "If it is not permanent, what is the expected duration of impairment?"

And then Dr. Kissimian responded "Impairment is long term with a chronic course."

Do you see that, Dr. Menninger? 1 Α. Yes. 2 And that's when Dr. Kissimian was explaining that this Q. 4 wasn't going to go away. Is that right? That's correct. Α. 5 And if we look down in the next, number five, this is 7 where Dr. Kissimian explained how the diagnoses that she listed above would affect your work situation in particular, right? Do you see that, number 5? Yes. I don't --10 Α. THE COURT: Yes, you see number 5, or yes to the 11 other question? 12 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, I see number 5. 14 MS. MANDEL: You see number 5. Okay. THE WITNESS: It's very small now. 15 MS. MANDEL: Yeah, I think Miranda was just going 16 to blow that back up. I think she was highlighting that so 17 18 that you would be able to see a little bit more clearly. BY MS. MANDEL: 19 Can you see that now, Dr. Menninger? 20 Q. 21 Α. Yes. Okay. And this specifically, this question is about --22 Ο. 23 it says, "What condition or limitation is or are interfering with the employee's ability to perform the essential 24

functions of his or her job?" And it says, "Based on the

attached job profile."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. So keeping your job profile in mind, Dr. Kissimian wrote down below, "Lisa suffers from panic disorder with agoraphobia, social anxiety disorder, and generalized anxiety disorder."

Do you see that, that's the first sentence?

- A. Yes.
- Q. "This disability significantly interferes with Lisa's ability to perform major life activities, such as thinking, concentrating, communicating, and working. Lisa is most affected in social situations when she is required to speak in front of others. Despite these limitations, Lisa reports that she has historically fulfilled the essential functions of her job without accommodation. However, she frequently suffers from anxiety and other somatic symptoms triggered by social interactions and public speaking incident to her job."

  Do you see that?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. And let me ask you -- and I understand that you're not a psychiatrist, Dr. Menninger, but when you read this, do you understand what somatic symptoms are?
- **A.** Yes.
- 25 Q. That's kind of like a physical reaction; is that right?

A. Yes.

Q. And then it goes on to say, "Further, Lisa's supervisor recently identified potential changes to her role involving more public speaking and social interactions. This has caused Lisa to experience increased anxiety with somatic symptoms, including diarrhea, heart racing, sweatiness, increased respiratory rate."

Do you see that, as well?

- **A.** Yes.
- Q. And you would agree that this is what Dr. Kissimian wrote in response to any questions about how this would impact work; is that right?
- **A.** Yes.
  - Q. Now, let's look at number 6, which kind of straddles two pages. In this question, Dr. Kissimian was responding to what, if any, of your job functions you would have trouble performing, based on your job description; is that right? Do you see that, the question, number 6, based on conditions, limitations?
  - A. Yes. I just need a second to read it.
- 21 Q. Of course. Of course.
- Have you seen that question now, number 6?
- **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then Dr. Kissimian wrote, "Lisa's disability makes it extremely difficult for her to engage in public

speaking and social interactions. While Lisa has been able to tolerate these types of activities to the extent that they've been necessary for her job, they often cause her to suffer from anxiety and other somatic symptoms. Any changes of her role that increase the need for public speaking and/or social interaction will increase her anxiety and worsen her somatic symptoms which will make it substantially more difficult, if not impossible for Lisa to perform her job."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And then let's look down at how Dr. Kissimian fills out the rest of the form.

So the next -- the next one is a question about what accommodation Dr. Kissimian would recommend that would allow you to perform your job. Do you see that? It says if the employee cannot perform the essential job functions -- THE COURT: I think it would be helpful if you blew

it up, just because it's hard to read. It's pretty small.

MS. MANDEL: Thank you.

- 20 BY MS. MANDEL:
  - Q. Do you see where it says, "If the employee cannot perform the essential functions"?
- **A.** Yes.
- Q. Number 7. Okay. And then it says, "What accommodations would you recommend which could allow the employee to better

perform his or her job functions? Please specify."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. And Dr. Kissimian wrote, "Given Lisa's disability, I recommend that any social interaction or public speaking incident to her role be minimized to the extent possible. Additionally, I recommend that her role not be changed to require any increased speaking or social interactions."

Then she additionally said, "To the extent that social interactions and/or public speaking is deemed necessary for Lisa's job, I recommend that a plan be developed for these activities in consultation with me or another qualified healthcare provider."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

- Q. And at this point in January of 2018, Dr. Kissimian was the only psychiatrist that you were seeing; is that right?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And then again, in the next -- the next section, number 8, the question says, "How would you recommend an accommodation improve the employee's job performance?"

And Dr. Kissimian wrote, "Given Lisa's disability,

I recommend that any social interaction or public speaking
incident to her role be minimized to the extent possible."

And then it says again, "Additionally, I recommend

that her role not be changed to require any increased public speaking or social interaction."

And then repeating again, that, to the extent they were necessary, a recommendation that a plan be developed in consultation with her; is that right?

A. Yes.

Q. And then number 9, "What restrictions, if any, do you place on the employee's ability to perform the functions of the job?"

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
  - Q. And Dr. Kissimian wrote, "Social interactions and public speaking should be minimized as much as possible. To the extent Lisa is required to engage in social interactions and/or public speaking, these activities should be planned in consultation with her medical provider, in hopes of minimized Lisa's anxiety and somatic symptoms."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
  - Q. And then down below, Dr. Kissimian signed -- I think that's probably her name, that chicken scratch; is that right?
- 23 A. I believe that's her signature.
- Q. And then she signed it on January 31st of 2018; is that right?

A. Yes.

- 2 Q. Okay. And then you also submitted the employee form at
- the same time, or around the same time; is that right,
- 4 Dr. Menninger?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 MS. MANDEL: Miranda, can we bring up joint
- 7 Exhibit 220, please.
- 8 BY MS. MANDEL:
- 9 Q. Dr. Menninger, this is a handwritten form that you filled
- 10 out; is that right?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. And at the bottom of the page, we see it has -- that's
- 13 your signature?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 Q. Thankfully, much easier to read.
- And that's January 30th, right, so the day before?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. And you filled out that you were authorizing disclosure
- of your health information from Dr. Kissimian; is that right?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- MS. MANDEL: Okay. And let's -- let's go down to
- Bates number 1382, please, Miranda.
- 23 BY MS. MANDEL:
- Q. And I understand there's a lot of typewritten language on
- 25 the page, but where there are answers that are typed in, did

- 1 you type those yourself, Dr. Menninger?
- A. I assume so. It was a long time ago. I can't really remember. But, yes, I think I filled it out on the computer.
- Q. Okay. And you -- you indicated here, on the third line down, that your work location was remote --
- MS. MANDEL: Oh, actually, Miranda, let's go back to -- thank you.
- 8 BY MS. MANDEL:
- 9 Q. You said your work location was remote; is that right?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. And that's because you were -- you had relocated to
- Dighton at that point and you were working out of your home
- office most of the time?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then down below, the question says, "Describe
- the nature of your impairment, and its expected duration"?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. And you wrote in, "I've been diagnosed with panic
- disorder with agoraphobia, social anxiety disorder, and
- generalized anxiety disorder."
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 Q. And you said you're not aware of a cure for these
- conditions but you're seeking treatment to minimize and
- 24 alleviate symptoms?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- Q. And that was the treatment with Dr. Kissimian that you were talking about?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then the next question is what specific accommodation are you requesting.
- A. Yes.
- Q. And you typed in, "My psychiatrist has recommended that any social interaction and/or public speaking incident to my job be minimized or avoided as much as possible"?
- 10 A. Correct.
- Q. And that was consistent with what Dr. Kissimian wrote on her form; is that right?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- Q. "Further, she has recommended that my role not be changed in a manner that would require increased social interactions and/or public speaking."
- That's also consistent with what Dr. Kissimian had written?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- Q. "To the extent that these activities are deemed necessary, my psychiatrist has recommended that a plan be developed with her assistance for these activities in the hopes of minimizing my anxiety or other symptoms."
- Do you see that?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- Q. And again, you're referring to Dr. Kissimian as the doctor who would have input on that?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then the last question on that page
  is, "Describe how the impairment is interfering with your
  ability to perform your essential job functions."

Do you see that?

8 A. Yes.

- 9 Q. Okay. And it references a job description, as well?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And you wrote in, "I believe that I am able to perform
- the essential functions of my job without accommodation, as I
- have been doing so for years. However, social interactions
- and public speaking often trigger anxiety, panic attacks, and
- other symptoms of my condition. These make it difficult for
- me to perform my job and interfere with my personal life, as
- 17 well."
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Okay. And so you signed this form and you sent it to
- 20 Mr. St. John; is that right?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And after that, Mr. St. John reached out to you to discuss further; is that right?
- 24 A. Yes. I don't know the exact date.
- MS. MANDEL: Well, let's look at -- let's bring

up -- let's actually bring up Joint Exhibit 62, please, 1 Miranda. And I know that we've looked at this -- maybe just 2 blow it up a little, Miranda, because it's a little hard to 4 read. BY MS. MANDEL: 5 We'll start with the e-mail on the bottom, the first 7 e-mail on the page. So the first e-mail --MS. MANDEL: I'm sorry, Miranda, can you make it a 8 tiny bit smaller, so we can just see who it came from. Thank 9 10 you. 11 BY MS. MANDEL: This e-mail came from Mr. St. John. It went to you. 12 And this was -- so we're like two days after you had returned 13 14 that -- that disability paperwork. Does that make sense? Α. 15 Yes. Okay. And Mr. St. John said, "Hope your Friday is going 16 Q. well." 17 18 He said, "I need your assistance as we continue the 19 dialogue around exploring reasonable accomodation. What are the specific expectations that Hacene shared with you for 20 2018 that you believe you cannot or limitedly perform?" 21 And then he says, "Your physician only indicates 22 23 that public speaking and social interaction be minimized. To the extent that public speaking and social interaction 24

is 'Deemed necessary' and that a plan be developed with your

physician."

1

3

4

10

2 So this is kind of working back what you and Dr.

Kissimian had explained?

- A. Yes.
- Q. "I would like to understand, from your physician's
  perspective, in writing, the specific limits or maximum
  percentage related to the specific expected duties. Public
  speaking and social interactions were the only items
  specified in your physician's paperwork."

Do you see that?

- 11 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And then he explained that this was going to be critical in this process of kind of going through the accommodation process.
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then let's go to the top of this page and you wrote back two days later, on February 4th. This is from you to Mr. St. John?
- 19 **A.** Yes.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And you explained, you said, "Hacene and I had a general discussion concerning changes he's considering making to make my role more visible. He didn't get into specifics, but suggested this might include increased client visits, social interactions, and presentations" and you say internal and external?

A. Yes.

- 2 Q. "That's what prompted my initial email with him regarding
- my disability. We were supposed to have a follow-up
- 4 conversation to discuss specifics and I wanted him to
- 5 understand my situation, so that we could try to figure this
- out together. That conversation still hasn't happened, so I
- 7 can't provide you much additional detail at this point."
- 8 Is that right?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. And then you asked Mr. St. John, just for clarification,
- about what else he might need from your doctor, right?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. So we've looked -- this week we've reviewed I
- think what Mr. Hannon has referred to as buckets of job
- 15 tasks; is that right?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- MS. MANDEL: Let's bring up Joint Exhibit 350,
- 18 please, Miranda.
- 19 BY MS. MANDEL:
- 20 Q. This is this e-mail that Mr. Mekerri drafted talking
- about what those buckets are; is that right?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 Q. So this was an e-mail from a couple of days later, now
- we've gotten to February 6th, where Mr. Mekerri wrote to you,
- 25 with a copy to Mr. St. John, right?

A. Yes.

- Q. And he explained, this is a one-on-one follow-up about
- 3 the executive director role that's the subject, right?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. And I know you had a one-on-one with him in December of
- 6 2017, where he had talked about what that additional
- 7 visibility might be; is that right?
- 8 A. Broadly, yes. We discussed presentations for
- 9 pharmaceutical clients.
- 10 Q. And you'd agree, wouldn't you, that this is now -- it's a
- follow-up about what those specifics are?
- 12 A. No. I think this is based on a one-on-one we had just
- prior to, like -- yeah, this was -- this was based on a one
- on one that we had in 2018, not based on the December
- meeting.
- 16 Q. Okay. So you had another one-on-one some time in the
- 17 first month of 2018?
- 18 A. Yes. I believe so. I -- I would have to look at my
- 19 notes.
- 20 Q. Okay. And, in fact, Mr. Mekerri does refer to having had
- a discussion with you of some type the day before; is that
- 22 right?
- 23 A. That makes sense. That was probably the one-on-one.
- 24 Q. And Mr. Mekerri in his follow-up says, "As per our
- 25 conversations, I'm happy to explore potentially reasonable

- accommodations, depending on the details that your physician
  will provide. I am listing below the specific duties within
  the job description, along with the expectations to reach the
  business goals. As you already know, the percentage scope of
  interactions, internal or external, is increasing in 2018 due
  to the needs of the business and our aggressive commercial
  goals."
  - Do you see that?
- 9 **A.** Yes.

- 10 Q. And then there are five bullet points below?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. The first one is "SLT presentations."
- 13 Is SLT, that's the senior leadership team
- 14 presentations?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 Q. And "town hall COO/EVP meeting"?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. COO is chief operating officer? Is that --
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 Q. And EVP is executive vice president?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And then beneath that, Mr. Mekerri provides some detail
- about how many people might be there and how often they would
- take place; is that right?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- Q. And so for the SLT presentations, which he lists, he says up to -- well, actually for the whole category, up to 500 employees. And then he says they would happen bi-weekly, monthly, or quarterly, right?
- A. Yeah, the frequency and the number of employees depends on the particular meeting. The SLT meetings are very small and you know, may involve, like, ten people.
  - Q. And those are the ones that you testified earlier would happen like on a bi-weekly basis?
- 10 **A.** Yes.

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And then there were really the big town halls which were like all over the world and that was the bigger group?
- 13 A. That was the bigger group, yes.
- Q. And then the next -- the next bullet point is, "Client bid defense, issue resolution calls, Highland Heights client site meetings, phone calls."

And it says, "Frequency: Once a month at a minimum for clients. Attendees and audience: Up to 50 attendees."

Right?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And actually just let's go back on the first bullet. I know you testified earlier today that you had already been doing -- participating in those meetings, the SLT presentations and the town halls, right?
- 25 A. On rare occasions, I had to present at those meetings.

- Q. But you did attend those meetings?
- 2 **A.** Yes.

- Q. Okay. And I -- on the second bullet, you testified
  earlier today about how you would be involved as needed with
  presenting information to new customers?
- 6 A. Are you -- what document are you referring to?
- Q. I'm talking about what your testimony has been so far about providing some information to new customers about things like reference ranges or what was happening in the lab?
- A. Yes. Usually through business development, an individual or a project manager. But yes. Most of the time, my interaction with clients was once their studies were already underway.
  - Q. And that's when they had questions about the reference ranges or things like that?
- 17 **A.** Right.

15

- Q. Then the third bullet is technical sales presentation, internal and external, Highland Heights client site meetings, and phone. And it lists out frequency will be monthly or quarterly as needed. And then it said potentially up to 100 attendees?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And then the fourth bullet says for customer visits, lunch and dinner and social interaction may occur?

- 1 **A.** Yes.
- 2 Q. That was about 60 to 80 percent of the time.
- 3 Do you see that?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. In order to build business relationships.
- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And then finally is a bullet point that repeats what
- 8 we've seen in the job description, which was travel up to
- 9 30 percent.
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. And then Mr. Mekerri said let me know if you need
- 12 further details. I'm happy to provide them. I'll do my best
- 13 to support you.
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then after Mr. Mekerri sent you this list with
- those five buckets, Dr. Kissimian sent a response; is that
- 17 right?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. Let's look at that response from Dr. Kissimian.
- MS. MANDEL: Miranda, can we bring up Joint
- 21 Exhibit 433, please.
- 22 BY MS. MANDEL:
- 23 Q. So this is an e-mail that's dated a few days later,
- February 14th of 2018, from Dr. Kissimian to Chad St. John,
- 25 right?

A. Yes.

- Q. And Dr. Kissimian said, "Chad, I've attached a document
- 3 providing psycho education on social anxiety disorder, as
- 4 well as recommended reasonable accommodations for Lisa."
- 5 Do you see that?
- 6 **A.** Yes.
- 7 MS. MANDEL: And let's go to the next page, please,
- 8 Miranda.
- 9 BY MS. MANDEL:
- 10 Q. So this is the attachment that Dr. Kissimian sent; is
- 11 that right?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. And so the first few paragraphs, Dr. Kissimian is
- just providing information about what your anxiety disorder
- does; is that right?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 **Q.** Okay. And let's?
- MS. MANDEL: Miranda, can we just increase the size
- 19 of that page a little bit and then we can go through it.
- 20 BY MS. MANDEL:
- 21 Q. "Social anxiety disorder." And that was one of your
- 22 diagnoses at that time?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- Q. "Lisa's difficulty with socializing and public speaking
- is not a manifestation of shyness. Social anxiety disorder

is a neurobehavioral disorder with biological and genetic risk factors that lead to physical, behavioral, and cognitive symptoms, which are pernicious and chronic in nature.

"In the past Lisa endured these work events and presentations with intense discomfort and with the use of a sedative."

And let me just pause there for a minute,

Dr. Menninger. Is Valium, like you talked about earlier, is
that a sedative?

A. Yes.

- Q. "The sedative did help take the edge off, but also came with side effects, including impaired attention, concentration, short-term memory deficits, lethargy."
  - Lethargy is like exhaustion?
- **A.** Yes.
  - Q. "And an extended length of time for her to return to her cognitive baseline to complete the more analytical and medical facets of her work.

"It is also important to note that the weeks leading to the expected speaking engagement or social event resulted in insomnia, panic attacks, GI discomfort, and weight loss."

- Do you see that, Dr. Menninger?
- **A.** Yes.
  - Q. And in the next, Dr. Kissimian said, "A concrete way of

thinking about this disability is that her brain and body are not able to tolerate public speaking engagements and socializing, and it is as if her vocal cords and brain become paralyzed while her blood pressure, heart rate, and breathing all increase. And it is for all of the above reasons that I am recommending the following reasonable accommodations."

Do you see that?

Α. Yes.

1

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then she says, "Below are reasonable accommodations for Lisa, so that she can continue to be a productive and healthy member of your team."

And below that, this is the same language from Mr. Mekerri's e-mail, but instead of a bullet, it now has a number one. Right? But it's that same language that Mr. Mekerri had listed out about SLT presentations, town hall, COO and EVP meeting. Do you see that?

- Yes. Α.
- Okay. Thank you. Q.

MS. MANDEL: And Miranda, can we -- let's look at those items one through five a little bit larger, please. Thank you. And can we just go up to one, first. Thank you. BY MS. MANDEL:

- So for number 1, this is the S LT presentations, the town hall. Under reasonable accommodation, you would agree,
- Dr. Menninger, that the language that was added here by

- Dr. Kissimian was where it says "reasonable accommodation," right?
- A. Yes.

5

7

8

9

10

11

Q. Okay. It says, "reasonable accommodation, responsible for all slides, handouts, presentation material with necessary information, but will require a reader to be — to present to the group or can be — prerecord the audio/video, and it can be played at the meeting. Available for questions via e-mail after the meeting."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And did you understand this to mean that Dr. Kissimian was saying you would write the material, but someone else would present it to the senior leadership team, to town halls, to the executives. Is that right?
- A. We were just brainstorming creative ways that we could, you know, accommodate, potentially, if needed. It didn't necessarily have to be this. This was just an example.
- Q. No, and I understand this. But this was creative and it says that you wouldn't actually do the presenting, right?
- 21 **A.** Not in person.
- Q. Okay. Well, let's go down to number 2. Number 2, this is the language that Hacene had drafted about client bid defenses, issue resolution, calls. Do you see that?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

Q. And then Dr. Kissimian's language falls right beneath that, "Reasonable accommodations available via e-mail, text, remote videoconferencing for representative of the client, one to two person audience maximum."

And that's compared to what Mr. Mekerri had said, which was up to 50 people, right? Do you see under number two, it says up to 50?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

Q. Okay.

"If it is a site meeting, surrogate or reader with

all necessary information, realtime access to me will be

available."

- Do you see that?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And this is maybe a little confusing, because it says "to me," and Dr. Kissimian was writing this, but I'm assuming the "me" there is you, Dr. Menninger; is that right?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. Because you put this together with Dr. Kissimian; is that right?
- 21 A. No. Dr. Kissimian put this together.
- Q. Okay. The next item, the next bullet is number 3, client site meetings.
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And Dr. Kissimian added the language that says

- "reasonable accommodation," is that right?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 Q. It says reasonable accommodation would like a surrogate
- 4 to attend -- and just a surrogate is someone instead of you,
- 5 right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. "But will be responsible for all problem solving,
- 8 ideas for resolution, e-mail communicated to me a few days
- 9 before the anticipated visit."
- Do you see that?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. The next item is technical sales presentation, internal
- and external. And it says, i.e., internal sales meeting.
- And it says client site meetings, phone. Do you see that?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And I think it's a little hard to read, but I think
- before client site, it's HH, and that's Highland Heights; is
- 18 that right?
- 19 A. I think so.
- 20 O. I know it's a little hard to read. And it's underneath
- 21 that, Dr. Kissimian added the language that says, "Reasonable
- 22 accommodation"?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- Q. It says, "Excuse from sales presentations, but, again,
- will provide any necessary data information for the reader or

surrogate to have at their disposal."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And then number five, it says, "For customer visits, lunch, dinner, and social interactions may occur."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And then this is -- it gets kind of -- what Dr. Kissimian wrote gets kind of bumped up. It says surrogate -- that's where her language begins, right?
- 11 A. Which number are you -- oh, yes.
- Q. Yeah, this one is a lot harder, because it kind of gets all bunched together. But it's under number 5, the sort of end of the second line. That's where Dr. Kissimian's second recommendation is added in; is that right?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And the accommodation that Dr. Kissimian recommended is "Surrogate, as this is not her strength, skill set, and her disability will flare with significant impairment. She has able to build business relationships in a more behind the scenes fashion, and would like to brainstorm other potential avenues, where she can add value, as she does understand that this is an important part of the business."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And then number 6, below, this is where it says travel, this is where we talk about the up to 30 percent that came from your job description; is that right?
  - A. Yes.

7

21

25

Q. And Dr. Kissimian listed out as reasonable accommodation,
"When possible, traveling to Brussels, versus stateside."

Do you see that?

- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 O. And stateside means like within the United States?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And that meant going to the Brussels lab, instead of going to Highland Heights; is that right?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And that's because you specifically were finding it really stressful to go to Highland Heights; is that right?
- 16 A. Not totally.
- 17 **Q.** Okay. So --

Heights lab.

- A. We were -- we were hiring -- as I mentioned earlier, we were in the process of hiring an MD or Ph.D. qualified candidate to serve as the lab director for the Highland
- I was still going to remain the CAP director for the Belgium lab. So it made sense that I would visit the Belgium lab more at that point.
  - Q. You had reported that it was especially stressful for you

- 1 to go to Highland Heights, which was your home lab, right?
- 2 A. It was also a more stressful environment. There was more
- interdepartmental conflict. Also, I was the only female
- 4 executive director there on site, so it -- with my condition,
- it was a little bit intimidating, and I was concerned,
- 6 especially, you know, after disclosing my disability.
- 7 Q. And so for you at this time, it was -- it was easier to
- 8 fly to Brussels?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. In response to this communication from Dr. Kissimian,
- Mr. Mekerri and Mr. St. John said that PPD would actually
- accommodate you for a couple of these items; is that right?
- 13 A. Yes. But they changed the criteria for the fifth bullet.
- 14 Q. Well, let's bring up that response.
- MS. MANDEL: Miranda, can we please bring up Joint
- 16 Exhibit 421. Thank you.
- 17 BY MS. MANDEL:
- 18 Q. This is an e-mail, Dr. Menninger, from Chad St. John to
- 19 you?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. And it copied Mr. Mekerri, and I guess Mr. St. John sent
- a copy to himself.
- And Mr. St. John wrote to you, "Hacene has
- confirmed that he is okay to accommodate points 1 and 5." So
- let's look below to what that was. This is some highlighted

- language, which is -- it's a little bit hard to see, it's sort of grayed out, and it has a little asterisk under number l. And it says, "The accommodated expectation will now be to include a designee."
  - Do you see that?
- A. Yes.

7

- Q. And you understand that that was PPD's response to you about how they would -- they would be able to meet the accommodation that was recommended by Dr. Kissimian, right?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And then the next item says, "Client bid defendants, issue resolution calls," that same language we've seen a few times now, right?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And it says, "The expectation is for Lisa to participate in client bid defense issue resolution calls" -- and again,
- HH is Highland Heights, right?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- Q. "Client site meetings, phone," and it says it's critical for the business, right?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. Number 3, the technical sales presentation, internal/external category, it says -- and the language that Mr. St. John and Mr. Mekerri had added is where it says
- 25 "Lisa's participation."

1 Do you see that?

A. Yes.

2

4

5

7

9

Q. Okay. "Lisa's participation as a Central Labs technical leader is imperative in gaining perspective client's trust to obtain new business."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And then number four, customer visits, lunch/dinner and social interactions?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And that's the one where Dr. Kissimian had said that you should be excused from those interactions all together; is
- 13 that right?
- 14 A. I believe she also said, Or brainstorm additional ideas.
- Q. And Mr. St. John and Mr. Mekerri said, "Lisa's
- participation as a Central Labs technical leader is
- imperative in gaining perspective client's trust to obtain
- 18 new business." Right?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then on the next e-mail down -- I mean, not
- 21 the next e-mail, the next number down, it says number 5, and
- 22 it says travel up to 30 percent. Again, that's the number
- from your job description. It says, "The accommodated
- 24 expectation will now be up to only 15 percent." Right?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- Q. Okay. And you understood that this was the response that
  PPD was providing to what Dr. Kissimian had recommended; is
  that right?
- A. Yes, except for number 5 wasn't really accurate, based on what Dr. Kissimian wrote.
- Q. Well, Dr. Kissimian had written that your travel up to 30 percent to the extent possible should be international travel, not to Highland Heights; is that right?
- 9 A. To the Belgium lab, yes.
- Q. And specifically here, PPD was saying they would just cut the travel expectation by half, right?
- A. Yes. But I didn't have any issues with travel. In fact,
- I looked forward to making more frequent visits to the Global
- 14 labs.
- Q. And in fact, during this time period, you had been looking at some upcoming trips to Brussels and to Shanghai, I
- think coming up in the spring and summer following, right?
- A. Definitely to Brussels. I don't think I had anything firmly set for Asia -- for Singapore or Shanghai.
- Q. Okay. And you actually -- you reported to Dr. Kissimian,
  after receiving this response, that you thought that PPD's
  response was promising, right?
- 23 **A.** Where is that from?
- MS. MANDEL: Yeah, let's bring up Dr. Kissimian's notes about that.

```
Miranda, can we bring up Joint Exhibit 18, please.
1
     And let's look at Bates number 671, please. Thank you.
 2
     BY MS. MANDEL:
          This is an -- at the top it says it's a psychiatric
 4
     follow-up visit. This was Dr. Kissimian. And it says, "DOS"
 5
     is that date of service, as far as you know, Dr. Menninger?
          I think that's DOB for date of birth.
 7
     Α.
          Oh, that's right. Yeah, I do see where it says DOB. But
8
     Q.
     two items below that, do you see where it says "DOS"?
 9
               THE COURT: Top of the page.
10
               MS. MANDEL: It's like --
11
               THE COURT: Maybe you can blow it up.
12
               THE WITNESS: Yes. Sorry. Yes.
13
14
     BY MS. MANDEL:
     Q. Okay. And let's look under, "History of present
15
     illness," and it says, "Continues to isolate at home and
16
     hardly leave her family."
17
18
               Do you see that that is as of February 6th of 2018?
19
     Α.
          Yes.
          And it says, "Today introduced the concept of CBT."
20
     Ο.
               Is that cognitive behavioral therapy?
21
22
     Α.
          Yes.
23
          Okay. Did you do cognitive behavioral therapy with
     Dr. Kissimian?
24
```

We -- yes, we did both therapy and medication management.

- Q. So that was part of your treatment that you were doing with Dr. Kissimian?
- A. We were experimenting with different things.
- Q. Okay. And you noted -- it says, "She was able to speak with both HR and her boss regarding the document for accommodations."

Do you see that?

8 A. Yes.

- 9 Q. "And their response was promising"?
- 10 A. Okay. This was -- yes. Yes.
- 11 **Q.** Okay.
- 12 A. This was before the meeting.
- Q. So this was after the company had given you information
- about those five buckets, and after Dr. Kissimian had
- provided back her recommended accommodations, right. And at
- that point you thought that things were promising?
- 17 **A.** I did. That was before I got the response on
- 18 February 26th, at the airport.
- 19 Q. Because when you were flying back to Highland Heights?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. And it says, in the same paragraph, Dr. Menninger,
- 22 it says that at that point, you were isolating at home and
- 23 hardly leaving your family?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 Q. And I know you were just talking about being at the

- airport and flying to Highland Heights, that was the one visit you made to Highland Heights in 2018, right?
- A. Yes. Hacene wanted me to time it with when the town hall was going to occur.
- Q. And at that point, you had been isolating at home with your family for some time?
- 7 A. Since disclosing my disability.
- Q. So you had been pretty much at home with your family from January to the end of February?
- 10 A. Well, I was working in my office downstairs. But, yes.
- Q. But physically, you weren't leaving the house? That's
- 12 what I mean.
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And you were able to get on to a plane and fly to
- Highland Heights at the end of February?
- 16 A. I was and I had a panic attack.
- 17 **Q.** Was that in the airport?
- 18 A. No. That was when I was at home.
- 19 Q. Leading up to the trip?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. How did you manage that panic attack? I mean, like
- 22 deal -- cope with the fact that you were having a panic
- 23 attack? Did you use medication for that?
- 24 A. I was crying. My husband was hugging me and trying to
- console me and tell me it would be okay, because I was

- scared. I didn't know what to expect. At this point, I had not heard anything in terms of a response to the suggestions that Dr. Kissimian had provided, so I was -- I was very nervous.
- Q. Let's look at your later communication with Mr. St. John and Mr. Mekerri about these accommodations that Dr. Kissimian had drafted on your behalf.
  - MS. MANDEL: Let's look at Joint Exhibit 141, please, Miranda.
- 10 BY MS. MANDEL:

- Q. So let's look at your e-mail, which is at the bottom of the page. This was an e-mail that you wrote on March 24, 2018.
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- Q. So this is, at this point, about a month after you had
  the panic attack around that travel, right? Is that right?
  You were just describing having a panic attack before going
  to Highland Heights towards the end of February of 2018, and
  now we're in March of 2018. About a month later, right?
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And you wrote this e-mail to Mr. St. John and
  you -- if we -- you said you're writing to follow-up on his
  e-mail regarding disability and potential need for reasonable
  accommodation.
- In the next paragraph you say, "I appreciate you

taking the time to highlight portions of my job description.

I am familiar with the essential functions of my position and

I'm not requesting any changes to those functions."

Do you see that?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And you said, "I am capable of performing all of my responsibilities, with or without accommodation."
- A. Yes.

4

5

7

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Q. Okay. And then you said, "There are, however, certain types of tasks, like formal group presentations, that are challenging to my disability, and I'd ask that you consider possible reasonable accommodations."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And in the next paragraph, you kind of remind them how -- or you remind Mr. St. John, how that discuss had led to Mr. Mekerri identifying three broad categories of duties and responsibilities for which he believed that no accommodation was possible. That's those two, three, four
- 21 **A.** Yes.

buckets?

- Q. Okay. And then if we look down below, you said, "In any event, based on my one-on-one with Hacene this past week..."

  So referring to a recent meeting with Mr. Mekerri?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- 1 Q. "It does not appear that there are any activities or
- events in the near future that would implicate my disability.
- Given this, and how extremely busy we all are, it might make
- 4 sense to table this discussion until a particular task
- 5 arises." Is that --
- 6 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then you said, "Dealing with these issues in
- 8 context, I think, might make this whole issue seem less
- 9 daunting and help us engage in a more meaningful dialogue."
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. Did Dr. Kissimian help you write that e-mail?
- 12 **A.** No.
- 13 Q. Did anyone help you write that e-mail, Dr. Menninger?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 Q. And who helped you write that e-mail?
- 16 A. My attorney.
- Q. Oh, okay. And then the last kind of small paragraph, you
- said you remain confident that we can work through this
- 19 together. Right?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- MS. MANDEL: Okay. We can close out of that.
- 22 Thanks, Miranda.
- 23 BY MS. MANDEL:
- Q. Dr. Menninger, I understand that you currently receive
- 25 Social Security Disability benefits from the federal

- government every month; is that right?
- 2 **A.** Yes.

- MS. MANDEL: Let's bring up joint Exhibit 440,
- 4 please, Miranda.
- 5 BY MS. MANDEL:
- Q. Dr. Menninger, this is -- at the top, it says this is
  "disability determination for Social Security pain and other
  symptoms."
- 9 Do you see that?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And it has your name and it has a blocked out Social
- 12 Security number. Do you see that?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Do you -- and it has a lot of handwritten notes. Do you
- recognize this as a form that you filled out?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And this was when you applied for government Social
- 18 Security benefits in January of 2019; is that right?
- 19 A. The application was submitted much earlier. This was a
- supplemental document that was sent to me to fill out. The
- application started earlier, by a company called Genex, that
- worked with UNUM, which was the company's disability
- 23 provider.
- Q. So -- and let's back up to give a little more context to
- 25 the jury on that.

- So you've testified so far this week that in June of 2018, you went out on a leave from your position at PPD; is that right?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And at the beginning of that leave, you had a number of days -- a good number of days of PTO, paid time off, that you were able to use to receive full pay?
- A. Yes. We had, like, an executive bank that I was able to be paid from.
- Q. Okay. And then once you were done using that executive
- bank of time, you -- you utilized short-term disability
- benefits for pay; is that right?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- Q. And then that transitioned, after a time, to what's called long-term disability to provide you with pay?
- 16 **A.** Yes. That's correct. But the short-term disability only covered up to 60 percent of my pay.
- Q. Right. It's not full pay. But it has some tax benefits; is that right?
- 20 A. I'm sorry. I didn't hear your question.
- 21 Q. It has some kind of tax benefits, right? Like the way
- 22 that that's taxed, instead of your pay?
- 23 A. I don't know.
- Q. That's right. I know this was a long time ago. We don't
- 25 have to get into --

- A. I don't know if there's tax benefits. I received a W-2, so I had to report it and pay taxes.
  - Q. Of course. Of course. And so as part of getting those long-term disability benefits, part of that process was also applying for government Social Security Disability benefits; is that right?
  - A. Yes. That was a requirement by UNUM.
- Q. And UNUM was the company that was administering the long term disability benefits for PPD?
- 10 A. The short term and the long term, yes.
- Q. And you were describing a few moments ago, this form is a supplement that was part of your application to receive those government benefits?
  - A. I received this form late. I was already in Albuquerque, so it was it was probably months after the initial application had been submitted by Genex. So this was just maybe the final, like, documentation they needed before making their decision and it had to be filled out by me.
    - Q. And just for some context, because you referred to moving to Albuquerque, that was during your medical leave in December of 2018 that you moved to Albuquerque, New Mexico?
  - A. Yes. It was at the end of December 2018.
- Q. Okay. And at which point you were still on medical leave?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

5

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 1 Q. Okay. So now we have some more context for this form.
- 2 MS. MANDEL: Miranda, can we jump to Bates
- page 891, please.
- 4 BY MS. MANDEL:
- Q. And while Miranda is helping us with that, Dr. Menninger,
- 6 you testified that you filled out this form, right?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- 8 Q. That's your handwriting?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 **Q.** Okay.
- MS. MANDEL: I think that's 690. That's -- it
- 12 should be 891.
- 13 THE COURT: Is this the page you want, or a
- 14 different page?
- MS. MANDEL: This is not the page I wanted. Okay.
- 16 That's okay. We can find that and come back to it.
- So Miranda, let's get back out of that. We'll come
- 18 back to it.
- 19 BY MS. MANDEL:
- 20 Q. Dr. Menninger, before January 2018, you had seen
- 21 therapists at various points?
- 22 THE COURT: Maybe you should take this down. There
- 23 you go. Okay. Go ahead.
- 24 BY MS. MANDEL:
- 25 **Q.** Is that right, Dr. Menninger?

- A. Can you repeat the question?
- Q. Before January 2018, you had worked with therapists at different points, right?
- 4 A. No. Psychiatrists.
- Q. I'm sorry, yes. You had worked with psychiatrists at various points?
- 7 A. In Kansas -- I'm just blanking out on his name. The one we were talking about earlier.
- 9 Q. Sure. That was Dr. Everson?
- 10 **A.** Yes.

- MS. MANDEL: Okay. And let's -- let's pull up --
- 12 Miranda, can we pull up, again, P-18, or Joint 18?
- 13 BY MS. MANDEL:
- 14 Q. And this is the first -- the notes of the first visit
- that you had with Dr. Kissimian, in January of 2018, right?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And this is, you would agree, Dr. Kissimian's description
- of what she learned from you during that first visit, right?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- MS. MANDEL: Okay. Let's -- let's jump to the next
- 21 page, please, Miranda.
- 22 BY MS. MANDEL:
- Q. So under, "Family History" this was a family history that
- you told Dr. Kissimian during this first visit in January of
- 25 2018?

A. Yes.

- Q. It talks about your mother and your grandmother with
- 3 severe anxiety disorders. Do you see that?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. And it says that your mother cannot order for herself at
- 6 a restaurant?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then it also says your father was
- 9 involuntarily hospitalized for erratic and violent behavior?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. "Denied substance abuse history." That was for your
- 12 father, right?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Was unemployed throughout your life, and there was
- schizophrenia on that side of the family, as well.
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. Do you see that? Okay.
- And then under "Developmental History," it's your
- understanding this is like referring back to your childhood?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. And it says, "Remembers mother as caring but timid and
- 22 distant at times and feeling she knew the motions of being
- 23 maternal but did not feel genuine. Father she remembers as
- 24 unpredictable, volatile, and scary. Remembers in the middle
- of the night, he would come into the bedroom that she shared

with her sister" --1 That's your sister, Tonya; is that right, 2 3 Dr. Menninger? Α. Yes. 4 -- "put on the light, and lecture them about something 5 that was irrelevant that was hard to follow. 7 Α. Yes. "Mother did leave her father and moved back to her 8 Q. hometown of Appleton, Wisconsin, to live with her 9 grandparents. But then they reconciled, and he" --10 And the "he" is your father? 11 Α. Yes. 12 13 -- "came back to only continue with the rages, violence, Q. and unpredictability"? 14 15 Α. Yes. Q. Do you see that? 16 A. (Nods head.) 17 Q. And then down below, it refers to your family situation 18 19 at that time, which was your husband, Mason, and your daughter, Maya; is that right? 20 Yes. 21 Α. It also says that you at that time felt most connected 22 Q.

with your sister, Tonya, your husband, and your daughter?

25 **Q.** Is that right?

Yes.

Α.

23

- A. That's correct.
- MS. MANDEL: Let's go to the next page, please,
- Miranda, 665.

- 4 BY MS. MANDEL:
- 5 Q. So under "Panic and Social Phobia," it's like kind of
- 6 second paragraph in, do you see where it says that, "Lisa
- 7 remembers, as young as three or four, dreading school,
- 8 especially fear of being called on to answer a question"?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. "If she was called on, her body and voice would shake,
- and this experience was both physically and mentally painful
- and exhausting"?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. "This has continued into adulthood, and even at small
- meetings when she needs to present three or four slides, the
- physical sensations of anticipatory dread set in as well as
- diarrhea, heart racing, sweatiness, and increased respiratory
- 18 rate."
- Do you see that?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. "Modifying Factors." Is it your understanding that that
- refers to things that kind of help make things a little
- 23 better?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 Q. And it says that, "Being with family and being inside

- 1 your home"?
- 2 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And then down below, where it says, "Psychiatric
- 4 ROS," do you have an understanding about what "ROS" means?
- 5 A. It stands for review of -- systems -- review of systems.
- Q. So this is like your overall psychiatric health at that time?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. And this is January of 2018, January 22nd, right?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. And it goes through -- it says "Denies depression,
- mania, psychosis," but it says, "but does have some intrusive
- thoughts of saying the right word, editing and re-editing all
- emails before sending and looking for grammar or spelling
- 15 mistakes."
- Do you see that?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. And down below, right beneath that it says, "eating
- 19 disorder behavior." You and your family are vegan; is that
- 20 right?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 Q. It says, "Weighs herself daily and has committed to
- remaining the same weight, working with a trainer over the
- 24 Internet to gain strength and to compete in ultra long power
- 25 walking."

- A. Yes. It's not totally accurate, the way it's worded, but yes.
  - Q. But you would agree these are Dr. Kissimian's impressions from that first -- from that first appointment, right?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. And then it lists out trauma, and it says, "Witnessed domestic violence as a child, father holding a knife to her mother's throat. Also, father committed to the psychiatric ward several times secondary to violent/unpredictable behavior, and remembers always having to walk on eggshells and never knowing what version of her father she would get."

  Do you see that paragraph?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And then a couple of lines down, it says -- whoops -- it says, "Past psychiatric history: Outpatient level of care, trial of Celexa, which she stopped." And that was, I believe you said earlier today, when we looked at the notes from Dr. Everson, that that is a medication that's used for anxiety and sometimes depression?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Which you stopped, "secondary to weight gain and also feeling emotionally blunted, unknown dose or time on medication."
- And so she was noting that you had stopped this trial of Celexa because you were concerned that you were

gaining weight on it?

- 2 A. It wasn't as much gaining weight, it was more -- it just
- made me feel like I couldn't experience like extreme
- 4 happiness or extreme sadness. I was just kind of there and
- 5 that was the primary reason.
- 6 Q. And so Celexa hadn't been like a good way for you to
- 7 manage your anxiety symptoms. Is that a fair statement?
- 8 A. That's fair.
- 9 MS. MANDEL: And let's look at the next page, 666,
- 10 please, Miranda. Yeah. Thank you. And can you just
- increase the size of that a little bit, please. Thank you.
- 12 BY MS. MANDEL:
- 13 Q. And again, this is from that first initial visit in
- January of 2018. And under where it says -- it says, "Mood
- fight or flight." Do you see that?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. And it says, "affect nervous" and then a couple
- lines down, it says, "thought content, public speaking fears.
- 19 Fears about saying the right word, fears about being around
- 20 others, prefers to avoid anxiety provoking activities
- 21 overvalued ideas about weigh."
- 22 Do you see that?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And then a couple lines down, Dr. Kissimian describes
- what types of panic symptoms you have; is that right?

A. Yes.

- 2 Q. You see where it says, "Panic perceptions, difficulty
- 3 breathing, choking sensation, unpleasant feeling of
- 4 anticipation or threat, fear of losing control or dying."
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. And this was based on your description to Dr. Kissimian
- 7 in January of 2018 about what you experienced when you had
- 8 panic?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. And then looking down at the -- under "assessment and
- 11 plan" and again, this is January of 2018, it
- 12 says, "49-year-old Caucasian female with a history of GAD."
- Is that generalized anxiety disorder, as far as you
- 14 know?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 Q. "Panic with agoraphobia and social anxiety disorder.
- 17 Presents for her initial psychiatric evaluation after her
- baselines high anxiety started to spiral when, at work, it
- was suggested that she be more visible and have more of a
- 20 public presence."
- 21 Do you see that?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 Q. "Currently feeling fight or flight" and that's that
- 24 feeling of like you either need to leave the situation or do
- 25 something to sort of help yourself?

- A. It's not a feeling of -- yeah, you need to run away or fight to survive.
- Q. And Dr. Kissimian was reporting that that's how you were feeling in January of 2018, right?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And that's -- it says because you were having
  catastrophic thinking about you potentially causing your
  family becoming homeless and you were having this thought in
  January of 2018?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And then Dr. Kissimian repeated, as well, some of that family history, including "type 2 trauma, secondary to father's volatile behavior, and witnessing domestic violence
- 14 between her parents."
- Do you see that?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And then in the last full paragraph on the page, it begins, "Social," and it has a colon. Do you see that?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And it talks about you being a pathologist, and then
  later in that paragraph, it says, "Concern for excessive
  accommodation where all of her needs are met and she is not
  asked or required to leave the house."
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And you testified a few moments ago that, from that time

- until the end of February, you really weren't leaving the house.
- 3 A. Correct.
- Q. And, in fact, since then, you've spent most of your time in your house; is that right?
- A. It's been back and forth. There's been times where,
  like, usually when I move some place new and no one knows me,
  then I would be okay to go for walks. But once I feel like
  I'm noticed, then I want to stay in the house.
- Q. And that's why you've tended towards places that are a little bit more rural and a little less neighborhood based, right?
- A. No. No. Actually, I feel better when I'm in crowds of strangers, because it makes me feel like I'm not being noticed.
- Q. Let's look at the notes from your next visit with
  Dr. Kissimian, on February 2nd.
- MS. MANDEL: Can we jump to page 668, please,
  Miranda? Thank you.
- 20 BY MS. MANDEL:
- Q. So this is your next appointment with Dr. Kissimian, about ten-ish days later; is that right?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. And this is the point at which you and
- Dr. Kissimian had just provided that accommodation paperwork

- to PPD; is that right?
- 2 A. Shortly afterwards, yes.
- Q. And it says, "Chief complaint." It says, "I am just
- 4 worried all the time. I wake up with my heart already
- beating fast, thinking about how I have to see the other
- 6 people that I work with at the end of the month after handing
- 7 in those letters to HR."
  - Do you see that?
- 9 **A.** Yes.

- 10 Q. And then it notes below that since your last visit, you
- were continuing to isolate in your home and hardly leave your
- 12 family; is that right?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. And then going down a couple of paragraphs, it says,
- "Spoke at length about her experience in the work
- environment, where she feels that she is more introverted and
- analytical. She is being criticized for things that are
- unchangeable."
- Do you see that?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. "Has difficulty advocating for herself, and has already
- resigned to defeat in the corporate culture"?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And you would agree, Dr. Menninger, that this is before
- you had any meetings with Mr. St. John and Mr. Mekerri to

review what was in the accommodation paperwork, right?

- A. Yes. I was fearful about getting a response and -- after disclosing my disability. And the -- the statement that I made about being introverted and analytical in the corporate culture was the corporate culture in general, not specific to PPD. I was referring to my introverted nature and analytical nature, which is why I picked the field of pathology.
- MS. MANDEL: Miranda, we can get out of that exhibit. Thank you.
- 10 BY MS. MANDEL:

1

2

4

5

7

8

- 11 Q. Dr. Menninger, I know we talked a little bit about you
- being a runner at times. You began running ultra marathons
- 13 in 2017, right?
- 14 A. I wouldn't say I was actually running. I was more
- walking, seeing how long I could walk until, yeah, I couldn't
- anymore, like during a 24-hour period.
- Q. And for the jury's benefit, can you tell us what an ultra
- 18 marathon is?
- 19 A. I believe it's anything defined as longer than a marathon
- 20 distance.
- 21 Q. So -- and a marathon is 26.2 miles, right?
- A. Yeah. Yes. An ultra marathon also can be time based, so
- like a 24-hour race, where you just go as long as you can.
- Q. And sometimes you did those running, sometimes walking;
- is that right?

- A. At that time, yeah, I -- I wasn't a serious runner. I'r not a good runner. I just -- it was almost like a form of meditation for me. It was calming, something I could do by myself. And in a way, it just gave me strength.
- Q. You did one of those ultra marathons when you were in
  Rhode Island -- or in Rhode Island, when you were living in
  Massachusetts; is that right?
- A. Yes. That was a 24 hour race, so you could stop, basically, whenever you felt like you had to.
- Q. And then you did two additional ones the next year, in 2018?
- A. Yes. The same race, again. And then 100K distance. And
  I was trying to use walking at that time as a form of
  therapy, just to be outside, be calm, be by myself, and just
  cope with what was going on.
- Q. And part of running an ultra marathon and walking an ultra marathon, you need like people to basically help you on the way; is that right?
- A. Usually the runners will be like a crew, but there's also aid stations with volunteers set up. So you can get food and water and things like that.
- Q. And your husband, and sometimes your sister, would do what's called crewing for you when you did these races?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 Q. And you also -- I know you said that you did that same

- ultra marathon in Rhode Island, I think it was two years in a row, right, 2017 and 2018?
- A. Correct.
- 4 Q. And then you did one in Arizona in 2018, as well?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And actually, that one in Arizona, I think you did in 2018 and 2019, again?
- 8 A. I tried. I attempted. I was not successful in 2019.
- 9 Q. And you also did one in Colorado in 2019; is that right?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And some of those ultra marathons have more than 600 people competing in them; is that right?
- 13 A. The one in Arizona.
- 14 Q. That's a big one?
- 15 **A.** Yeah.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: We'll stop here.

to the 9:00 to 1:00 schedule.

- Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, just a schedule update and a reminder. So we're on track on the schedule that I told you. I have confirmed with the lawyers and you should anticipate that Monday that Monday we'll go in the afternoon. So tomorrow, 9:00 to 1:00, Friday, 9:00 to 1:00, nothing on Saturday, nothing on Sunday. Monday, 9:00 to 1:00, break for lunch, 2:00 to 4:00. And then Tuesday, back
- And then so thank you for your attention, don't

```
discuss the case among yourselves, don't discuss with anyone
 1
     else. Keep an open mind. No independent research. All rise
 2
 3
     for the jury.
                (The jury exits the courtroom.)
                THE COURT: See you at 8:30, or is there no need?
 5
     I'm happy to do it, if there's any --
 6
 7
               MR. HANNON: If we could do 8:45, it would be
     better for me.
 8
 9
                THE COURT: I'm happy to do 8:45, unless there's
     something to talk about.
10
               MS. MANDEL: I think, at most, we would be talking
11
     about the procedure with the deposition read-in, but I don't
12
13
     think that's going to --
                THE COURT: It won't take very long. All right.
14
     8:45.
            I'll see you then. Have a good day.
15
16
                (Court in recess at 1:02 p.m.)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER I, Rachel M. Lopez, Certified Realtime Reporter, in and for the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, do hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753, Title 28, United States Code, the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript of the stenographically reported proceedings held in the above-entitled matter and that the transcript page format is in conformance with the regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States. Dated this 22nd day of March, 2023. /s/ RACHEL M. LOPEZ Rachel M. Lopez, CRR Official Court Reporter